# Confinement Effects and Emission Spectra of $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$ Quantum Dots Nanostructure

# **Onyekwere O. Ikedichukwu and Oriaku I. Chijioke**<sup>\*</sup>

# Received: 12 August 2021/Accepted 09 September 2021/Published online: 14 September 2021

Abstract: Quantum confinements in  $\alpha$  –  $Ga_{x}In_{1-x}N$  spherical semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) has been theoretically studied using the Particle in a box Model based on the effective mass approximation and quantum confinement effects. The valence band degeneracy in  $\Gamma$  point of the Brillouin zone and the effective mass anisotropy are also taken into account. The emission intensity spectrum was also investigated too understand the effect of alloy composition(x) on the spectrum. The results show that the ground state confinement energy is largely dependent on radius of the dot and alloy composition(x). Thus, as dot radius decreases, the confinement energy increases. Hence, confinement energies could be tuned by changing the radius of QDs and the GaNcompositions, which play a fundamental role in the optical and electronic properties of QDs of all the transitions in the degenerate bands. Also, the theoretically calculated emission intensity spectrum shifted towards higher energy region (lower wavelengths) by mere increasing the alloy compositions (x) of the semiconductor quantum Dot active region  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$ .

*Key Words*: *Quantum dot nanostructure, quantum* (Schubert *et al.*, 2008). *Confinements, nitride, anisotropic band structure* Although some dynamic improvement has

## **Onyekwere O. Ikedichukwu**

Department of Physics, Michael Okpara Universit devices, of Agriculture Umudike, P.M.B 7462;haracter UmuahiaAbia State, Nigeria uninvest Email: <u>ikedichukwuonyekwere@gmail.com</u> the quan **Orcid Id**:0000-0002-8738-5710 ODs tha

# Oriaku I. Chijioke<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Physics, Michael Okpara Universityhe confined particles has not yet been of Agriculture Umudike, P.M.B 7462;eported. UmuahiaAbia State, Nigeria Quantum confinement is a unique

Email: oriaku29@gmail.com

## Orcid Id:

*Communication in Physical Sciences 2020, 7(3):164-173* Available at <u>https://journalcps.com/index.php/volumes</u>

# 1.0 Introduction

Semiconductor quantum dots (SQDs) also known as artificial atoms have attracted much attention for many potential applications due to their unique physical and optical properties such as size-dependent band gap, size-dependentt excitonic emission, enhanced nonlinear optical properties and size-dependent electronic properties attributed to quantum size-effect (QSE) (Ahmed et al., 2010; Weiet al., 2014). Past few decades has witnessed the substantial expansion III-nitride of Group semiconductors (Xuet al., 2008). Most of the interest in nitride-based alloys and devices has been on their unique benefit inshortwavelengthh lights, high-power electrical devices, wide band gap ranging from Infrared ultraviolet frequencies which to are appropriate for electronic and optoelectronic applications (Steigerwaldetal., device 1997;Song et al., 2019). GaN and its alloys, particularly InGaN have been proved to be most promising materials for optical devices

been actualized in the study of Nitride based rersitdevices, numerous fundamental 7462;haracteristics are still unclear or uninvestigated. For example, knowledge on the quantum confinements in wurzite Nitride QDs that takes full account of the existing anisotropy in the effective masses which is essential for understanding the behaviour of

Quantum confinement is a unique characteristics of QDs as it transforms the density of states near the band edge (Bera*et* 

al., 2010 and Eric et al., 2019). With this transformation in the density of electronic states (Wei, et al., 2014), size quantization of exciton states shifts the band gap energy and emission spectrum which is a function of quantum dot sphere's radius (Efros, 1982). This shiftschanges the energy levels from continuous to discrete levels (Robinson et al., 2005, Imran et al., 2018 and Khan et al., 2018).

associated with the respective principal quantum number leads to equation 4

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 h^2}{8mr^2} \tag{4}$$

Equation 4 is an extension of the Schrödinger equation that is best referred to as, the particle in a box model. The mathematical implication of equation 4 is that the energy of a particle will always assume a non-zero value. This model can be applied to analyse the quantumm dot problem, since in quantum dot, electrons and holes are typically confined within the dots (Efros, 1996). The

extension of the one the particle in a box problem to In this paper, quantum confinementt effects spherical  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  semiconductor quantum , spherical  $\alpha - Ga_x in_{1-x}N$  semiconductor quantum solution to the theoretical energy expected within the dots are theoretically investigated within the quantum dot according to the form expressed in equation framework of a particle in a box model. A method while equation 6 represents the ground state while equation 6 represents the ground state that takes full account of the existing anisotropy inonfinement energy o electrons and holes in the the dielectric constants, electron and hole effectivequantum dots.

masses and also taking into consideration the valence band degeneracies is discussed. The band  $= \frac{nh^2}{8m_e^*R^2} + \frac{nh^2}{8m_h^*R^2}$ valence band degeneraties is discussed. The end of parameters obtained in this method are used as  $=\frac{h^2}{8R^2}\left(\frac{1}{m_e^*}+\frac{1}{m_h^*}\right)$ 

(6)inputs in the calculations of the confinement  $m_e^* and m_h^*$  are the effective masses of electron and hole energies and photon emissions are these are respectively. In Wurtzitee Structures, the reduced

discussed in terms of alloy composition and mass,  $\mu = m_e m_h / (m_e + m_h)$  and static dielectric quantum dot radius. constant  $\varepsilon(0)$  arising from the structural anisotropy can

### 1.1 Theory

best be approximated to the forms expressed by Hanada The particle in a box model describes the free movement al. (2013) as follow: of a particle in a small space surrounded by impenetrable  $\frac{2}{2} = \frac{2}{3m_e^{\perp}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\perp}(0)}{3\varepsilon^{\parallel}(0)m_e^{\parallel}}$ barriers according to Samrat, (2014), the ground stat $\overline{m_e}^{-1}$ energy of a particle trapped in a spherically symmetric energy of a particle trapped in a spherically symmetric<sub>1</sub> box with V(r) =  $\infty$  outside and a constant zero potentiah<sub>h</sub> =  $\frac{2}{3m_h^{\perp}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\perp}(0)}{3\varepsilon^{\parallel}(0)m_h^{\parallel}}$ 

energy V(r) = 0 inside is found by solving the radial parAlso, the average statistical dielectric constant  $\varepsilon(0)$  can of the Schrodinger equation: be written as equation 9, (Hanada et al. (2013)):

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR_l(r)}{dr}\right) + \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)R_l(r) \qquad (1) \qquad \varepsilon(0) = \sqrt{\varepsilon^{\parallel}(0)\varepsilon^{\perp}(0)}$$

where M is the mass of the particle,  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2}$ , is the reduce where  $\varepsilon^{\parallel}(0)$  and  $\varepsilon^{\perp}(0)$  are static dielectric parallel and Planck constant,  $R_{l}(r)$  is the eigen function of the eigen erpendicular respectively,  $m_{e}^{\parallel}, m_{e}^{\perp}, m_{h}^{\parallel}, m_{h}^{\perp}$  are the energy E with 1 representing the orbital angular ffective masses of electron and hole parallel and momentum of the particle quantum state. The application perpendicular respectively. Consequently, the energy of the boundary condition,  $(R_1(r) = 0 \text{ for } r = a \text{ where } V_{(12)}$  of a quantum dot can be written as follows (Efros,  $\rightarrow \infty$ ) leads to the simplification of equation 1 to  $\frac{1}{2996}$ : norate equation ?

generate equation 2  
$$B \sin kr$$

$$\Delta E(R) = E_g + \frac{h^2}{2R^2} \left( \frac{1}{m_e^*} + \frac{1}{m_h^*} \right)$$
(10)

(2)  $R_0(r) = \frac{r}{r}$ The bandgap,  $E_q$  of the ternary alloy can be interpolated where B is the normalization constant. Also, the ground tom the bandgap of the constituent binary alloy using state energy of a particle confined in a one dimensional the quadratic function (equation 11): box can be written as follows:

$$E_0 = \frac{h^2}{8m^2}$$

$$E_g(x) = (1-x)E_g^{AC} + xE_g^{BC} - bx(1-x)$$
(11)

(3) where  $E_g$  is bandgap of the bulk wurtzite semiconductor The modification of equation 3 to contain the energiesnaterial being studied, x is the alloy composition, and b corresponding to each of the allowed wavenumbers the bowing parameter.



(5)

#### 2.0 Absorption spectra of the structure 2.1 Emission intensity spectra of the structure The linear optical absorption, $\alpha$ at a given frequency $\overset{Also,}{\varpi}$ band-to-band contribution the to the simple emiconductor photoluminescence intensity can be can be investigated using а verv expressed as follows (Yanlin H. and Hyo J. S. 2012): phenomenological formula of the type expressed equation 12 (Osuwa,and Oriaku,2010, Oriaku an $d(\omega) = A((\hbar\omega - \Delta E(R))^n \left[\frac{1}{e^{\beta}(\hbar\omega - \Delta E(R))}\right] \forall \hbar\omega > E_g$ (13) Osuwa, 2009, and Pelant and Valenta, 2012), etc., Here $\beta$ is simply the inverse thermal energy given as 1 $\alpha(\omega) = \frac{A(\hbar\omega - \Delta E(R))^n}{\hbar\omega} \forall \hbar\omega > E_g$ (12) $/K_BT$ , where $K_B$ and T are the Boltzmann's constant and In equation 12, $\omega$ and $\hbar$ denote the incident samplet emperature. The band parameters adopted in the photon frequency and thereduced Planck'ss constant alculations are summarized in Table 1. respectively. A is the material parameter to be extracted from the semiconductor.

Table 1: Parameters used in modelin	g the Tunable Exciton	Energies of WZ-GaInNQDs <del>.</del>
-------------------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------------

Material	$E_g(eV)$	$m_e^{\parallel}$	$m_e^{\perp}$	$m_{hh}^{\parallel}$	$m_{lh}^{\parallel}$	$m_{Ch}^{\parallel}$	$m_{hh}^{\perp}$	$m_{lh}^{\perp}$	$m_{Ch}^{\perp}$	$\varepsilon^{\parallel}(0)$	$\varepsilon^{\perp}(0)$
GaN	3.510	0.20	0.18	1.10	1.10	0.15	1.65	0.15	0.10	9.5	10.4
InN	0.78	0.11	0.10	1.67	1.67	0.10	1.61	0.11	1.67	15.0	15.0

(Source: Hanada, 2013)

quantum dot.

#### 3.0 **Results and Discussion**

The effects of composition x on the anisotropic electron effective masses for both parallel (||) and perpendicular  $(\bot)$ directions in the dot material were evaluated and the electron effective masses were



0.2 (0 E) 0.15 E 0.1 0.5 0 х 15 Dielectric 10 0 0.5 1 X

observed to increase with composition x

increases as shown in Fig. 1. This result is in

accord with the observation reported by

Zhou and Sheng (2008) for flat lnAs/Ga-As

Fig. 1: Variation of dielectric with composition, x for  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  nanostructure dots Figs. .2 and3 are the plots the variation of hole effective-mass as a function of alloy composition(x). The figures reveals that hole effective-masses for the three holes namely: heavy hole(hh), light hole(lh) and crystal field hole(ch) in the parallel direction was found to



dependent on be inversely the allov composition. A similar characteristic was observed for light hole and crystal field hole in perpendicular plane. The heavy hole in the perpendicular plane displayed direct dependent on the alloy composition. The effective mass anisotropy of the holes showed unique differences in energy for the various directions with to the C-axis, that is the splitting of the quantum confinement levels of the holes.



Fig.2: Hole effective mass (I) as a function of composition x



Fig.3: Hole effective mass  $\perp$  as a function of composition x









We have used Particle in a box model within effective mass approximation to calculate the ground state confinement energy of  $\alpha$  –  $Ga_xIn_{1-x}N$  spherical QDs as a function of dot radius R=1-8nm and varying alloy composition x = 0.5, 0.75, 1. The results are as reported here. The conduction and valence band of  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  quantum dot studied is anisotropic. Therefore, effective masses of and holes electrons in parallel and perpendicular directions towards C-axis of the dot are non-identical as shown in Table 1.The  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x} N$ quantum dot confinement energy show clear dependence on dot radius at different alloy composition(x) in all the plots for the respective subbands. It is clearly observed that the decrease of the dot radius shifts the energy levels. These shifts considerably dependent are on allov composition(x) and effective masses of the carriers. The larger is the composition(x), the larger is the shift. Thus revealing the ability of  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  quantum dot to be tuned within energies ranging from about 1.5eV to 5.5eV covering the Infrared to UV spectral range (Figs.5 to 7).



Fig.5: Confinement energy at x=0.25 composition as a function of dot radius





Fig.6: Confinement energy at x=0.75 composition as a function of dot radius



Fig.7: Confinement energy at x=1 composition as a function of dot radius Quantum dot Emission Intensity

Figs. 8 to 10 are plots for the emission intensity of  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  quantum dot at different alloy composition(x). It is evident from the plots that the intensity manifested as a broad signal, which may be attributed to the inhomogeneous broadening due to different alloy composition(x). Calculations of the

intensity at different composition (x) indicated that the observable shift in intensity increase with alloy composition (x). A similar observation has been for GaN/AlN structures (Rami, 2011). Therefore, the signal is influenced by varying alloy composition. At x



=0.75 in Fig. 8, three sharp peaks were observed at around 4.0eV, 4.5eV and 4.8eV respectively depicting the emission intensity at hh, lh, chsubbands respectively. These peaks are believed to be emission signal from

the binary structures due to their broad spectrum. Thus, the intensity desired for optical devices can be realized by varying the alloy composition(x).



Fig.8: Emission intensity of hh, lh, chsubbands at 0.25 composition(x) as a function of photon energy in $\alpha$  – Ga<sub>x</sub>In<sub>1-x</sub>N QD.



Fig.9: Emission intensity of hh, lh, chsubbands at 0.5 composition(x) as a function of photon energy in  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$  QD.





Fig.10: Emission intensity of hh, lh, chsubbands at 0.75 composition(x) as a function of photon energy in  $\alpha$  – Ga<sub>x</sub>In<sub>1-x</sub>N QD

The most important factor that affects the optical properties is the size of the dots. Different sized quantum dots change the color emitted or absorbed by the crystal, due to the energy levels within the crystal. It is evident from the results presented in Table 2 that the emission spectrum, the color of the light differs according to the energy emitted by the crystal. Red light is associated with lower energy while blue light is associated with a

higher energy. Also, the size of a quantum dot is inversely proportional to the band gap energy level, and therefore alters the wavelength of light emitted and has an effect on the color it displays. Smaller dots emit higher energy light that is bluer in color, whereas larger dots emit lower energy red light. The size of the dot can be manipulated in manufacturing processes by varying the material composition as done in this work to create a quantum dot suitable for specific optical devices.

Degenerate holes	Composition(x)	Emission Energy(eV)	Emission colour
	0.25	2.2	Red,orange
hh	0.5	2.8	Green,Blue
	0.75	3.9	Blue
	0.25	2.1	Red,orange
lh	0.5	3.0	Green,Blue
	0.75	4.5	Blue
	0.25	2.0	Red,orange
ch	0.5	3.3	Green,Blue
	0.75	4.8	Blue

Table 2: Emission wavelength of different degenerate bands at different composition, x



# 4.0 Conclusion

conclusion, have investigated In we theoretically quantum confinement in  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$ semiconductor spherical quantum dot. We showed how different valence subbands of  $\alpha - Ga_x In_{1-x}N$ can change the dynamics of the QD and create different responsivity toward alloy compositions(x) and dot radius. It is clearly observed that the decrease of the dots shifted the energy levels from 1.5eV to 5.5eV. These shifts are considerably dependent on alloy composition(x) and effective masses of carriers. The larger is the composition(x), the larger is the shift. Besides, the degeneracies of the holes confinement energies are distinctly observed at some values of R and x for the different valence subbands. Furthermore, the emission intensity spectrum of the quantum dot material studied shifted towards higher energies by increasing alloy composition(x). material studied The OD exhibited confinement at around the orange/red domain, mainly due to the Indium composition, the confinement spectrum also shifted towards the blue domain by incorporating Gallium. This would make it possible to create efficient solid state white light.

# 5.0 References

- Ahmed, S. & Mohammed, S. (2010).
  Electronic Structure of InN/GaN Quantum Dots: Multimillion-Atom Tight-Binding Simulations. *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, 57, 1, pp. pp. 164 173..
- Bera, D., Qian, L., Tseng, T.K. *et al.* (2010). Quantum Dots and Their Multimodal Applications: A Review, Materials, 3, 4, pp. 2260-2345.
- Efros, A. L. (1996). Band-edge Exciton in Quantum Dots of Semiconductors with a Degenerate Valence Band. *Phys. Rev. B*, Vol. 54, No. 7, 4843.
- Eric, D. *et al.* (2019). Optical properties of InN/GaN quantum dot superlattice by changing dot size and interdot spacing, RIP, 13, 102246.

- Hanada, T. (2013). Basic Properties of ZnO, GaN, and Related Materials. *Journal of Applied Physics*. pp1-19.
- Imran, A. *et al.* (2018). Size and shape dependent optical properties of InAs quantum dots.
- Khan, A. *et al.* (2018). Solution Processed Trilayer Structure for High-Performance PerovskitePhotodetector, Nanoscale Res Lett, 13(1), 399.
- Kunets V.P (1999). Model of optical transitions in wurzite type quantum dots *Journal of Semiconductor physics.* vol.2, pp.22-27.
- Osuwa, J.C and OriakuC.I (2010). Laser induced changes on band gap and optoelectronic properties of chalcogenide glassy Cu<sub>0.11</sub>Cd<sub>0. 40</sub>S<sub>0. 49</sub> thin films. *Journal of Non-Oxide Glasses*, 2, pp. 1-5.
- Oriaku, C.I andOsuwa, J.C (2009). On the optical dispersion parameters of thin film Al3 doped ZnO transparent conducting glasses. *Journal of Ovonic Research*, 5, 6, pp. 213-218.
- Pelant,I. and Valenta, J.(2012). Luminescence Spectroscopy of Semiconductors. Oxford Press.
- Robinson, J. W. *et al.* (2005). Quantumconfined Stark effect in a single InGaN quantum dot under a lateral electric field, *App Phys. Lett.*, 86(21), 213103.
- Schubert, M. F. et al. (2008). Polarizationmatched GaInN/AlGaInN multi-quantumwelllight-emitting diodes with reduced efficiency droop. *App Phys. Lett.*, 93(4), 041102.
- Song, C. *et al.* (2019). Impact of Silicon Substrate with Low Resistivity on Vertical Leakage Current in AlGaN/GaN HEMTs.
- Steigerwald, D. *et al.* (1997). III-V Nitride Semiconductors for High Performance Blue and Green Light Emitting Devices, JOM. University Press;New York.
- Wei, J. *et al.* (2018) .β-Ga2O3 thin film grown on sapphire substrate by plasmaassisted



molecular beam epitaxy. Journal of Semiconductor, 40, 012802.

- Yanlin H. and Hyo J. S. (2012). Luminescence Properties and Refractive-Index Characterization of Li+-Doped PbWO4 Single Crystals. J. Korean Phys. Soc.Vol. 50, No. 2, pp. 493 - 499.
- Journal of Zhou, A.P. and Sheng, W.D (2008).Electron and hole effective masses in self-assembled quantumDots.*The European Physical* Journal B. DOI: 10.1140/epjb/e2009-00098-2.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declared no conflict of interest

