## Health Risk Assessment of Natural Radionuclides Ingestion from Selected Edible Crops in Farmlands Around Limestone Excavation Area in Ewekoro, Ogun State

### Olusegun Sowole \*, Kolawole Abiodun Egunjobi and Adebola Daniel Awofodu Received: 22 April 2023/Accepted 26 August 2023/Published 28 August 2023

Abstract: Natural radionuclides are found in the air, water and soil. They are introduced to the plants through the leaves and absorption of nutrients and water from the soil by the roots. The presence of radionuclides in plants can affect directly humans through the consumption of the plant or indirectly through the food chain. The concentrations of natural radionuclides in some crops from farms around Ewekoro limestone excavation area in Ewekoro town, Ogun State in Nigeria, were determined and the annual committed effective dose to the consumers was also determined. In addition, soil samples from the farms where each crop was planted were collected to determine the transfer factor and the radiological safety of people on the farms. The gamma spectrometry method was used for the analysis. The highest concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and  $^{232}$ Th in crops were 856.13  $\pm$  32.25Bakg<sup>-1</sup>,  $35.27 \pm 5.91 Bqkg^{-1}$  and  $13.39 \pm 2.75 Bqkg^{-1}$ respectively. The average annual committed effective dose of the natural radionuclides to the consumers was calculated to be 0.9214mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>, which was below the limit of 1.0mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> recommended globally. The average excess lifetime cancer risk of the radionuclides consumption to the consumers was determined to be 3.225 x  $10^{-6}$ , which was below the recommended limit of 1.45  $x \, 10^{-3}$  and therefore indicated that the ingestion of these radionuclides through the consumption of these crops has no significant radiological health hazard to the consumers. However, the average

annual effective dose equivalent of the radionuclides from soils within the investigated farms showed an average value of 0.0458 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>, which was below the limit of 1 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>. Average excess lifetime cancer risk was determined to be 0.1547 x  $10^{-3}$  which was below the recommended limit, indicating that the farmers and the people in the environs were not exposed to radiological health hazards.

*Keywords: Crops, radionuclides, gamma spectrometry, concentration, dose, health hazard.* 

### **Olusegun Sowole \***

Department of Physics, Tai Solarin University of Education, P.M.B 2118, Ijagun, Ijebu-Ode, Nigeria Email: <u>sowoleo@tasued.edu.ng</u> Orcid id: 0000-0001-7228-4688

### Kolawole Abiodun Egunjobi

Department of Physics, Tai Solarin University of Education, P.M.B 2118, Ijagun, Ijebu-Ode, Nigeria Email: <u>egunjobika@tasued.edu.ng</u> Orcid id: 0000-0001-6061-9348

### Adebola Daniel Awofodu

Department of Biology, Tai Solarin University of Education, P.M.B 2118, Ijagun, Ijebu-Ode, Nigeria

## Email: <a href="mailto:awofoduad@tasued.edu.ng">awofoduad@tasued.edu.ng</a>

## Orcid id: 0000-0002-4910-8819

## **1.0** Introduction

All organisms on Earth are continuously exposed to ionizing radiation originating from outer space. Natural exposures arising mainly from the primordial radionuclides

are distributed widely and are present in almost all geological materials in the earth's environment. These radionuclides are known as naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM) (Santawamaitre et al., 2010). According to Egunjobi Sowole and (2019), the primordial radionuclides are capable of releasing ionizing radiations and а significant amount of energy under disintegration. The disintegration of radionuclides can be destructive if monitoring, check and balance mechanisms are not properly considered. They exist in water, air, soils and rocks, and serve as a threat to human health because the intake may lead to radiological health hazards such as cancer in organs of the body. They find their way into plants through the roots from the soil and are stored in their parts, the edible parts of the plants are fed on by animals including humans, and get contaminated with them. According to Tawalbeh et al. (2012),ingested radionuclides could be concentrated in certain parts of the body. Chemical uranium toxicity primarily affects the kidney, causing damage to the proximal tubule, while this metal has also been identified as a potential reproductive toxicant (Linares et al., 2006). <sup>232</sup>Th causes effects in the lungs, liver and skeleton tissues, and <sup>40</sup>K causes effects in muscles. Depositions of large quantities of these radionuclides in particular organs will affect the health condition of the human such as weakening the immune system, inducing various types of diseases, and finally increasing in mortality rate (Tawalbeh et al., 2012).

Assessment of activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in surface soil samples from different locations at Igbokoda, where crude oil exploration is taking place, at Ondo State in Nigeria, was done by gamma spectrometry method. NaI (TI) detector



coupled with pre-amplifier a base connected to a multiple channel analyzer (MCA) was used to determine the radiological indicators (Sowole & Egunjobi, 2019). Ten (10) samples were collected from the study area taking into consideration the densely populated parts where crude oil exploration and drilling of its wells were taking place. The mean activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th obtained from the soil samples were 494.64  $\pm$  10.46, 19.76  $\pm$  3.09 and 31.98  $\pm$ 5.10 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , respectively. The mean external hazard index (Hex) and mean internal hazard index (Hin) for all the soil samples were 0.2836 and 0.3370, respectively, while the mean radium equivalent activity was 104.92 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>. The mean absorbed dose rate value was 49.68 nGy hr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean annual effective dose equivalent of 0.0610 mSv yr<sup>-1</sup>. The mean excess lifetime cancer risk for outdoor exposure was  $0.2132 \times 10^{-3}$ . The values of the radiological parameters: mean external and internal hazard indices, mean radium equivalent activity, mean absorbed dose rate, mean annual effective dose equivalent and mean excess lifetime cancer risk were within the recommended limits of 1.0 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, 370 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, 55.00 nGy hr<sup>-1</sup>, 1.0 mSv  $yr^{-1}$  and 1.45 x 10<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Najam & (2015) studied the natural Younis radionuclides in the soil samples of selected regions in Nineveh province in Iraq, and the health implications to individuals in the study area. The average absorbed dose rate, average radium equivalent value, and average internal and external hazard indices were obtained to be 48.91 nGy hr<sup>-1</sup>, 89.41 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.329 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.238 Bq  $kg^{-1}$ , respectively, and all the values were within the recommended limits globally. Also, Sowole (2014) studied the activity concentrations of radionuclides in surface soil samples of major markets in Sagamu and obtained the highest radioactivity

concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, and <sup>238</sup>U from Falawo market surface soil samples with values of  $1274.26 \pm 4.26$ Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and 40.72 $\pm$  3.12Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively while that of <sup>232Th</sup> was obtained from Sabo market surface soil sample with value  $115.62 \pm$ 16.39Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>. The mean external hazard index (H<sub>ex</sub>) and mean internal hazard index (H<sub>in</sub>) for all the soil samples from Falawo market were determined to be 0.616 Bqkg<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> and 0.691Bgkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively, and that of Awolowo market were 0.566 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.634 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Also, for Sabo market the mean values were calculated to be 0.594 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.658 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. All the values obtained were less than 1.0 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> as recommended by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, 2007) and therefore have no negative radiological health implications for the people within the markets and their environs. Furthermore, a preliminary investigation of naturally occurring radionuclides in some traditional medicinal plants used in Nigeria for the treatment of some diseases by Njinga et al. (2015) revealed that the average annual committed effective doses due to the ingestion of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K from the plants by the consumers ranged from 0.00426 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.00686 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> with an average value of 0.00538mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> which was below the worldwide average value of 0.3mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> for an individual provided in UNSCEAR 2000 report indicating that the consumers are radiologically safe. The research work done by Giri et al. (2013) concerning foodstuffs and water ingestion by human beings in India from the uranium mining area of the study area revealed that the ingestion dose was below the dose limit of 1mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> for public exposure in planned exposure situations as recommended by CRP (2007) indicating also that the people are radiologically safe. In order to collect data for the Nigerian, situation, especially,

Ogun State, this research work aims to determine the activity concentrations, and annual committed effective doses of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th, along with the average annual committed effective dose from some crops purchased from farmlands around Ewekoro limestone excavation areas in Ogun State, Southwest of Nigeria to consumers. In addition, to collect soil samples from these farms and determine the radiological parameters to ascertain the radiological safety of the people in the farmlands and their environs.

### 2.0 Materials and Methods

The method of gamma spectrometry was adopted for the analysis of the samples collected to obtain concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in the crops and soil samples. Twenty (20) samples of edible crops: cassava, wateryam, cocoyam, maize and potato were purchased from farmlands in the study area, and twenty soil samples (20) were also collected from the farms. The crop samples were rinsed with distilled water to avoid contamination, and cut into pieces, after which they were oven-dried at 80°C (Akinloye et al., 1999), pulverized, weighed, packed 120.0g by mass in plastic containers and carefully sealed and kept for twenty-eight days (28) to establish secular radioactive equilibrium between the natural radionuclides and their respective progenies. Also, soil samples collected from the farms where the crops were harvested respectively were dried at room temperature, crushed, packed in plastic containers of uniform dimensions, and weighed 130.0g each. The spectrometer used was a Canberra lead-shielded 7.6cm x 7.6cm NaI (Tl) detector coupled to a multichannel analyzer (MCA) through a preamplifier base. The resolution of the detector is about 10% at 0.662MeV of <sup>137</sup>Cs. For the analysis of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and  $^{232}$ Th, the photo peak regions of  $^{40}$ K (1.46 MeV), <sup>214</sup>Bi (1.76 MeV) and <sup>208</sup>TI (2.615 MeV) were respectively used. The cylindrical plastic containers holding the samples were put to sit on the high geometry 7.6cm x 7.6cm NaI (TI) detector. High-level shielding against the environmental background radiation was achieved by counting in a Canberra 10cm thick lead castle. The counting of each sample was done for 10hrs because of suspected low activities of the radionuclides in the samples. The areas under the photo-peaks of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th were computed using the Multichannel Analyzer system.

The activity concentrations of the radionuclides were calculated based on the measured efficiency of the detector and the net count rate under each photopeak over a period of 10 hours using equation 1. (IAEA, 1989)

$$A = \frac{N(E_{\gamma})}{\varepsilon(E_{\gamma})IMt_{c}} \tag{1}$$

where  $N(E_{\gamma}) = Net$  peak area of the radionuclide of interest,  $\varepsilon(E_{\gamma}) = Efficiency$ of the detector for the  $\gamma$ - energy of interest,  $I_{\gamma} = Intensity$  per decay for the  $\gamma$ - energy of interest, M = Mass of the sample,  $t_c = Total$ counting time in seconds (36000s).

# 2.1 Annual committed effective dose (ACED) for ingestion

In addition, the annual committed effective dose (ACED) for ingestion of NORMs in crops was determined using the expression (Tettey-Larbi *et al.*, 2013):

$$ACED = C \ x \ DCF \ x \ CR$$
(2)  
C = Concentration of each radionuclide,  
DCF = Dose conversion factor for ingestion

obtained from UNSCEAR (2000) and CR = Consumption rate of intake of NORMs from the crops.

## 2.2 Excess lifetime cancer risk

Excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) to the consumer was determined based on the values of the annual committed effective



 $ELCR = ACED \ x \ LE \ x \ RF$  (3) Where LE is life expectancy taken to be 70 years and RF is the fatal risk factor per sievert which was 0.05 (ICRP, 2007).

## 2.3 Radium equivalent activity (Ra<sub>eq</sub>)

This was calculated by the equation described by Beretka and Mathew (1985) and Yang *et al.* (2005) as indicated by equation 4.

$$Ra_{eq} = \frac{10}{130}C_k + \frac{10}{7}C_{Th} + C_{Ra}$$
(4)

Where:  $C_{Ra}$ ,  $C_{Th}$  and  $C_k$  were the activity concentrations in Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K, respectively.

## 2.4 External hazard index $(H_{ex})$

Commonly used to evaluate radiation dose rate due to external exposure to gamma radiation from natural radionuclides in soil samples as reported by Hamzah *et al.* (2008) was presented in equation 5.

$$H_{ex} = \frac{C_k}{4810} + \frac{C_{Th}}{259} + \frac{C_{Ra}}{370}$$
(5)

## 2.5 Internal hazard index (H<sub>in</sub>)

H<sub>in</sub> is a parameter for estimating the negative effect of radioactive materials on the lungs and other respiratory organs. The risk of internal exposure due to the natural radionuclides: <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th can be assessed from the value of H<sub>in</sub> using equation 6. (Hamzah *et al.*, 2008)

$$H_{in} = \frac{C_k}{4810} + \frac{C_{Th}}{259} + \frac{C_{Ra}}{185}$$
(6)

## 2.6 Absorbed dose rate (D)

The absorbed dose rate in air for external gamma radiation at about 1.0 m above the ground from the natural radionuclides D ( $nGy hr^{-1}$ ) was given by UNSCEAR (2000) and EU (1999):

D ( nGy  $hr^{-1}$ ) = 0.041C<sub>k</sub> + 0.604C<sub>Th</sub> + 0.462C<sub>Ra</sub> (7)



The absorbed dose rate in air was used to estimate the annual outdoor effective dose equivalent for individuals. The effective dose conversion factor was taken to be 0.7 Sv. Gy  $yr^{-1}$  and an outdoor occupancy factor of 0.2 with an annual occupancy time of approximately 8760 hr  $yr^{-1}$ .

## 2.7 Annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE)

This was estimated using equation 8. as expressed in UNSCEAR (2000).

AEDE (mSv yr<sup>-1</sup>) = D (nGy hr<sup>-1</sup>) x 8760 (hr yr<sup>-1</sup>) x 0.2 x 0.7 (Sv Gy<sup>-1</sup>) x 10<sup>-6</sup>

#### (8)

## 2.8 Excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR)

This was calculated based on the values of the annual outdoor effective dose equivalent as in Table 42 using equation 9. (UNSCEAR, 2000):

 $ELCR = AEDE \times LE \times RF$  (9)

Where LE is life expectancy taken to be 70 years and RF is the fatal risk factor per sievert which is 0.05 (ICRP, 2007). According to UNSCEAR (2000), activity concentrations of radionuclides and their effects on bone marrow and the bone surface cells are considered organs of interest.

## 2.9 Annual Gonadal Equivalent Dose (AGED)

The radiological estimation parameter for the people using the soil of the study area as a building material was calculated using equation 10. (Xinwei, 2006): AGED (mSv yr<sup>-1</sup>) =  $3.09 C_{Ra} + 4.18 C_{Th} + 0.314 C_k$  (10)

Where  $C_{Ra}$ ,  $C_{Th}$  and  $C_k$  were the activity concentrations in Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K, respectively.

2.10 Representative Gamma Index (Iyr) Representative Gamma Index was used to estimate the  $\gamma$ -radiation hazard associated with the natural radionuclides in specific investigated samples. OECD (1979) expressed representative gamma index as:

$$I_{yr} = \frac{C_k}{1500} + \frac{C_{Th}}{100} + \frac{C_{Ra}}{150}$$
(11)

This gamma index was also used to correlate the annual dose rate due to the excess external gamma radiation caused by superficial materials. It was a screening tool for identifying materials that might become of health concern when used for construction (Tufail *et al.* 2007). Values of I yr  $\leq$  1 correspond to annual effective doses of less than or equal to 1 mSv, while values of I yr  $\leq$  0.5 correspond to annual effective doses less or equal to 0.3 mSv (Turhan and Gündüz, 2008).\

### 3.0 Results and Discussion

The obtained concentrations of natural radionuclides in the crops from the farms were shown in Table 1, the highest for  $^{40}$ K,  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th were 856.13 ± 32.25Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> from maize (EMaizS2), 35.27 ± 5.91Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> from maize (EMaizS2) and 13.39 ± 2.75Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> from potato (EPotaS1) respectively.

Crop name	Sample code	Activity concentrations of radionuclides (Bqkg <sup>-</sup> 1)			
		<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th	
Cassava	ECasS1	$259.74 \pm 12.35$	$8.53\pm0.53$	$6.38\pm0.91$	
Cassava	ECasS2	$286.25\pm11.28$	$13.67 \pm 1.04$	$7.84\pm0.54$	
Cassava	ECasS3	$174.46\pm6.81$	$9.68 \pm 1.32$	$12.16\pm2.31$	
Cassava	ECasS4	$253.08\pm14.37$	$20.24\pm2.62$	$6.84\pm0.72$	

Table 1. Radioactivity concentrations of natural radionuclides in crop samples



Wateryam	EWatyS1	$705.93 \pm 26.87$	$14.94 \pm 3.25$	$5.28\pm0.62$
Wateryam	EWatyS2	$384.57 \pm 10.42$	$10.69 \pm 1.37$	$4.83\pm0.38$
Wateryam	EWatyS3	$657.18 \pm 25.03$	$17.48\pm3.62$	$8.45\pm0.45$
Wateryam	EWatyS4	$554.09 \pm 18.32$	$11.67 \pm 2.04$	$6.91\pm0.27$
Cocoyam	ECocoyS1	$698.72 \pm 23.15$	$29.86 \pm 4.03$	$7.97 \pm 0.95$
Cocoyam	ECocoyS2	$412.64 \pm 16.39$	$19.37\pm2.58$	$6.06\pm0.21$
Cocoyam	ECocoyS3	$685.23 \pm 21.06$	$23.14 \pm 1.92$	$7.58\pm0.75$
Cocoyam	ECocoyS4	$457.31 \pm 12.25$	$13.47\pm3.02$	$5.11\pm0.63$
Maize	EMaizS1	$621.73 \pm 18.47$	$32.39 \pm 4.03$	$8.52 \pm 1.17$
Maize	EMaizS2	$856.13 \pm 32.25$	$35.27 \pm 5.91$	$10.38\pm0.84$
Maize	EMaizS3	$771.86 \pm 34.79$	$25.86 \pm 4.32$	$8.47 \pm 1.25$
Maize	EMaizS4	$437.92\pm8.64$	$19.26\pm4.83$	$6.02\pm0.67$
Potato	EPotaS1	$523.75 \pm 13.17$	$15.75 \pm 4.47$	$13.39\pm2.75$
Potato	EPotaS2	$198.46 \pm 6.24$	$10.89 \pm 3.08$	$4.94\pm0.32$
Potato	EPotaS3	$373.67\pm4.46$	$17.91 \pm 5.29$	$9.41\pm0.87$
Potato	EPotaS4	$410.89\pm12.51$	$15.48\pm3.63$	$12.05\pm3.03$

	Table 2.	The determined	annual committed	effective dose	to consumers /	of crop
--	----------	----------------	------------------	----------------	----------------	---------

Crop	Sample	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U ELCR	<sup>232</sup> Th
name	code	ACED	ACED	ACED	ELCR	x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	ELCR
		(mSvyr <sup>-1</sup> )	(mSvyr <sup>-1</sup> )	(mSvyr <sup>-1</sup> )	x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		x 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Cassava	ECasS1	0.4971	1.8273	0.4454	1.7398	6.3956	1.5590
Cassava	ECasS2	0.5396	2.4292	0.7027	1.8884	8.5023	2.4593
Cassava	ECasS3	0.4790	1.4940	0.5474	1.6765	5.2291	1.9160
Cassava	ECasS4	0.3537	2.0630	0.9403	1.2379	7.2205	3.2909
Wateryam	EWatyS1	0.5733	0.9517	0.2259	2.0067	3.3308	0.7906
Wateryam	EWatyS2	0.6386	0.8212	0.1882	2.2351	2.8740	0.6586
Wateryam	EWatyS3	0.5216	0.5310	0.1926	1.8254	1.8584	0.6742
Wateryam	EWatyS4	0.4430	0.3688	0.0995	1.5507	1.2906	0.3482
Cocoyam	ECocoyS1	0.8759	2.2074	0.2585	3.0658	7.7261	0.9048
Cocoyam	ECocoyS2	0.8311	2.0588	0.3498	2.9089	7.2057	1.2241
Cocoyam	ECocoyS3	0.9157	2.7532	0.4330	3.2048	9.6362	1.5154
Cocoyam	ECocoyS4	0.6836	3.1697	0.2399	2.3926	11.0939	0.8397
Maize	EMaizS1	0.6703	2.6561	0.4659	2.3460	9.2962	1.6307
Maize	EMaizS2	0.8156	1.5849	0.3312	2.8545	5.5470	1.1591
Maize	EMaizS3	0.8586	1.2748	0.2501	3.0049	4.4618	0.8752
Maize	EMaizS4	0.9940	1.9574	0.5128	3.4788	6.8509	1.7948
Potato	EPotaS1	0.4844	1.7297	0.2829	1.6955	6.0541	0.9868
Potato	EPotaS2	0.2377	1.5777	0.4145	0.8318	5.5218	1.4507
Potato	EPotaS3	0.6515	2.3074	0.2255	2.2802	8.0758	0.7891
Potato	EPotaS4	0.3514	1.4172	0.5813	1.2298	4.9600	2.0347
	MEAN	0.6208	1.7590	0.3844	2.1727	6.1565	1.3451

No artificial radionuclide was detected in all the samples. The highest ACED to the consumers for  ${}^{40}$ K was obtained to be 0.9940mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 0.6208mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> as shown in table 2. Also,

the highest ACED to the consumers for  $^{238}$ U was obtained to be 3.1697mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 1.7590 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> and the highest for  $^{232}$ Th was 0.9403 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 0.3844 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>. The



average for all the radionuclides was calculated to be 0.9214 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>. All the values obtained were below the world average recommended limit of 1.0 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> (ICRP, 2007) except for <sup>238</sup>U, which may be due to the high deposit of uranium in the soil of the study area, according to Adewole and Ewumi (2011) report.

The activity concentrations of <sup>40</sup>K from the soil samples ranged from 206.07  $\pm$  4.92  $Bqkg^{-1}$  to 974.28 ± 41.26  $Bqkg^{-1}$ , <sup>238</sup>U ranged from 9.17  $\pm$  1.64 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> to 39.85  $\pm$ 7.24 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and <sup>232</sup>Th ranged from 5.08  $\pm$ 2.01 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> to  $14.29 \pm 3.85$  Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> as shown in table 3. <sup>40</sup>K had the highest activity concentration while <sup>232</sup>Th recorded the lowest value. The activity concentrations were within the range of values for a normal background area (Akinloye and Olomo, 1995). Radium equivalent activity (Raeq) as shown in Table

4, ranged from 36.86 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> to 132.2 Bqkg<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> with a mean value of 74.76 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, which was lower than the mean value of 89.41 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>obtained by Najam and Younis (2015) for Iraq, both were below the world limit of 370 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> (OECD, 1979; Beretka and Mathew, 1985). Furthermore, the study made by Akinloye et al. (2012) on the surface soil of Ore metropolis, Ondo State, Nigeria gave a similar result for radium equivalent activity ranging from 33.39 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> to 85.07 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>; though lower than the results obtained in this work. Moreover, the external hazard index  $(H_{ex})$  ranged from  $0.0996 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$  to  $0.3573 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$  with a mean of 0.2021 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> as shown in Table 4. The values were within the limit of the safety value of 1.0 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> recommended by the European Commission (1999), ICRP (2007) and UNSCEAR (2000).

	· · ·			•1 1
Table & Radioactivity	concentrations of	t natural rad	iinniiclidee in	i chil camplec
Lable J. Kauloaching	concentrations of	maturarrau	monuchues m	son sampies

Sample code	Activity concentrations of radionuclides (Bqkg <sup>-</sup>						
	1)						
	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th				
ECasSS1	$344.65 \pm 10.25$	$9.17 \pm 1.64$	$8.59 \pm 2.26$				
ECasSS2	$295.14\pm7.06$	$14.82\pm3.05$	$9.31 \pm 2.14$				
ECasSS3	$206.07\pm4.92$	$11.55\pm2.64$	$13.45\pm4.36$				
ECasSS4	$274.38\pm12.17$	$24.15\pm7.36$	$8.09 \pm 2.34$				
EWatySS1	$738.42\pm30.87$	$15.47\pm4.09$	$6.35 \pm 1.72$				
EWatySS2	$425.39 \pm 11.57$	$10.94 \pm 1.85$	$5.08 \pm 2.01$				
EWatySS3	$697.81 \pm 27.09$	$18.27 \pm 4.92$	$9.25\pm2.66$				
EWatySS4	$588.24 \pm 17.48$	$13.05\pm3.16$	$8.36 \pm 1.57$				
ECocoySS1	$713.22 \pm 25.41$	$31.27 \pm 6.94$	$8.64 \pm 1.65$				
ECocoySS2	$482.19\pm18.07$	$20.86 \pm 4.15$	$7.35\pm2.04$				
ECocoySS3	$722.36\pm24.39$	$24.03\pm3.27$	$9.14\pm2.86$				
ECocoySS4	$503.54 \pm 14.87$	$15.72\pm5.04$	$6.52 \pm 1.37$				
EMaizSS1	$682.64 \pm 19.09$	$38.49 \pm 6.17$	$9.35 \pm 3.47$				
EMaizSS2	$974.28 \pm 41.26$	$39.85\pm7.24$	$12.18\pm2.39$				
EMaizSS3	$827.65 \pm 34.47$	$27.19\pm6.37$	$9.67\pm3.85$				
EMaizSS4	$475.12\pm9.13$	$21.58\pm5.08$	$8.24 \pm 1.57$				
EPotaSS1	$587.36 \pm 12.36$	$17.25\pm5.09$	$14.29\pm3.85$				
EPotaSS2	$212.78\pm5.03$	$12.38\pm3.46$	$5.68 \pm 1.47$				
EPotaSS3	$516.39\pm7.95$	$19.67\pm8.33$	$12.34\pm3.09$				
EPotaSS4	$487.25 \pm 11.65$	$18.02\pm5.79$	$13.06\pm4.17$				



SAMPLE	Ra <sub>eq</sub>	Hex	D	$I_{yr}$	AGED	AEDEOUT	ELCR x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
CODE	(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(nGy hr <sup>-1</sup> )	(mSv)	(mSv yr <sup>-1</sup> )	(mSv yr <sup>-1</sup> )	(Outdoor)
ECasSS <sub>1</sub>	47.95	0.1296	23.8	0.3768	172.46	0.0292	0.1022
ECasSS <sub>2</sub>	50.82	0.1374	24.78	0.3887	177.39	0.0304	0.1064
ECasSS <sub>3</sub>	46.62	0.1260	22.05	0.3489	156.62	0.0271	0.0947
ECasSS <sub>4</sub>	56.81	0.1536	27.49	0.4248	194.60	0.0337	0.1180
EWatySS <sub>1</sub>	81.34	0.2199	41.78	0.6589	306.21	0.0512	0.1793
EWatySS <sub>2</sub>	50.92	0.1376	25.86	0.4073	188.61	0.0317	0.1110
EWatySS <sub>3</sub>	85.16	0.2302	43.13	0.6795	314.23	0.0529	0.1851
EWatySS <sub>4</sub>	70.24	0.1898	35.61	0.5628	259.98	0.0437	0.1528
ECocoySS <sub>1</sub>	98.48	0.2662	49.41	0.7704	356.69	0.0606	0.2121
ECocoySS <sub>2</sub>	68.45	0.185	34.18	0.5340	246.59	0.0419	0.1467
ECocoySS <sub>3</sub>	92.65	0.2504	46.75	0.7332	339.28	0.0573	0.2007
ECocoySS <sub>4</sub>	63.77	0.1724	32.2	0.5057	233.94	0.0395	0.1382
EMaizSS <sub>1</sub>	104.36	0.2820	51.9	0.8052	372.37	0.0637	0.2228
EMaizSS <sub>2</sub>	132.20	0.3573	66.4	1.0370	479.97	0.0814	0.2850
EMaizSS <sub>3</sub>	104.67	0.2829	52.92	0.8297	384.32	0.0649	0.2271
EMaizSS <sub>4</sub>	69.90	0.1889	34.76	0.5430	250.31	0.0426	0.1492
EPotaSS <sub>1</sub>	82.85	0.2239	41.09	0.6495	297.47	0.0504	0.1764
EPotaSS <sub>2</sub>	36.86	0.0996	18.02	0.2812	128.81	0.0221	0.0774
EPotaSS <sub>3</sub>	77.02	0.2082	38.07	0.5988	274.51	0.0467	0.1634
EPotaSS <sub>4</sub>	74.16	0.2004	36.53	0.5756	263.27	0.0448	0.1568
MEAN	74.76	0.2021	37.34	0.5681	269.88	0.0458	0.1547

 Table 4. Determined radiological parameters to individuals in farmlands around

 limestone excavation areas in Ewekoro

The absorbed dose rate in air; ranged from 18.02 nGyhr<sup>-1</sup> to 66.4 nGyhr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 37.34 nGyhr<sup>-1</sup>, which was less than the limit of 55.00 nGvhr<sup>-1</sup> worldwide mean value as reported by UNSCEAR (2000). In addition, the representative Gamma Index  $(I_{yr})$  ranged from 0.2812 to 1.0370 with a mean value of 0.5681 in which the values were within the recommended world limit of unity (ICRP, 2007; Orgun et al., 2007). The Annual Gonnadal Equivalent Dose (AGED) ranged from 128.81 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> to 479.97 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 269.88 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the annual outdoor effective dose equivalent ranged from 0.0221 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> to 0.0814 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 0.0458 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup>, which was



below the limit of 1.0 mSvyr<sup>-1</sup> (UNSCEAR, 2000). The excess lifetime cancer risk for outdoor exposure ranged from 0.0774 x 10<sup>-3</sup> to 0.2850 x 10<sup>-3</sup> with a mean value of  $0.1547 \times 10^{-3}$ , which was below the world's average of 1.45 x 10<sup>-3</sup> (Qureshi *et al.*, 2014). In a research done by Ramasamy *et al.* (2009) in evaluating ELCR in river sediments of Karnataka and Tamilnadu, India, the average ELCR was obtained to be 0.20 x10<sup>-3</sup>, which was higher than the average value obtained in this research work.

#### 4.0 Conclusion

The activity concentrations, annual committed effective doses of  $^{40}$ K,  $^{238}$ U and

<sup>232</sup>Th, along with average annual committed effective dose from some cultivated crops purchased from farmlands around Ewekoro limestone mining area at Ogun State, Southwest of Nigeria to consumers had been determined from the research work. More so, radiological parameters for the safety of farmers, other people on the farms and their environs were determined. Thus, all the values obtained were below the world average recommended limits for ingestion of natural radionuclides from the crops and the safety of farmers on the farms. In conclusion, the results obtained indicate that the consumption of the crops by the people in the study area does not pose any significant radiological health risk to them and the farmers are radiologically safe.

## 5.0 Acknowledgement

The author wishes to appreciate the Nigerian government TETFUND for the tertiary institution grant given to assist in this research work and Tai Solarin University of Education Directorate of Research and External Relations.

## 6.0 References

- Adewole, O. O. & Ewumi, T. O. (2011).
  Radiological impact survey of sites around two cement producing factories:
  WAPCO and PURECHEM, Ewekoro, Southwestern, Nigeria. *Report and Opinion*, 3, 2, pp. 37-42
- Akinloye, M. K. & Olomo J. B. (1995).
  Survey of environmental radiation exposure around Obafemi Awolowo University nuclear research facilities. *Nigerian Journal of Physics*, 7, pp. 16-19
- Akinloye, M. K., Olomo, J. B. & Olubunmi, P. A. (1999). Meat and poultry consumption contribution to the natural radionuclide intake of the inhabitants of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Nuclear*

Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, A422: 795-800

- Akinloye, M. K., Isola, G. A. & Oladapo,
  O. O. (2012). Investigation of Natural
  Gamma Radioactivity Levels and
  Associated Dose Rates from Surface
  Soils in Ore Metropolis, Ondo State,
  Nigeria. *Environment and Natural Resources Research*, 2, 1, pp. 140 -145
- Beretka, J. & Mathew, P. J. (1985). Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial waste and byproducts. *Health Physics*, 48, pp. 87-95
- EC (European Commission) (1999). Radiological protection principles concerning the natural radioactivity of building materials. Radiation Protection Report RP-112, Luxembourg.
- Giri, S., Jha, V. N., Singh, G. & Tripathi, R.
  M. (2013). Estimation of annual effective dose due to ingestion of natural radionuclides in foodstuffs and water at a proposed uranium mining site in India. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 89, 12, pp. 1071–1078
- Hamzah, Z., Saat, A., Mashuri, N. H. & Redzuan, S. D. (2008). Surface radiation dose and radionuclide measurement in ex-tin mining area, Kg Gajah, Perak. *Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*, 12, 2, pp. 419-431
- IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) (1989). Measurements of ra0dionuclides in food and the environment – A Guidebook. STI/DOC/10/295 IAEA Vienna.
- ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) (2007). Recommendations of the ICRP Publication. 103 Annuals ICRP, 37.
- Linares, V., Bellés, M., Albina, M. L., Sirvent, J. J., Sánchez, D. J. & Domingo, J. L. (2005). Assessment of the pro-oxidant activity of uranium in



kidney and testis of rats. *Toxicology Letters*, 167, pp. 152-161.

- Najam, L. A. & Younis, S. A. (2015). Assessment of natural radioactivity level in soil samples for selected regions in Nineveh province (Iraq). *International journal of novel research in Physics Chemistry and Mathematics*, 2, 2, pp. 1-9
- Njinga, R. L., Jonah, S. A. & Gomina, M. (2015). Preliminary investigation of naturally occurring radionuclides in some traditional medicinal plants used in Nigeria. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, 8, pp. 208 – 215
- OECD (Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development) (1979). Exposure to radiation from natural radioactivity in building materials: report. Nuclear Energy Agency, OECD, Paris.
- Orgun, Y. N., Altinsoy, S.Y., Sahin, Y., Gungor, A. H., Gultekin, G. & Karaak, Z. (2007) Natural and anthropogenic radionuclide in rocks and beach sands from Ezine region, Western Anatolia, Turk. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 65, pp. 739-747
- Qureshi, A. A., Tariq, S., Ud Din, K., Manzoor, S., Calligaris, C. & Waheed, A. (2014). Evaluation of excessive lifetime cancer risk due to natural radioactivity in the rivers sediments of northern Pakistan. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2014.0</u> 7.008
- Ramasamy, V., Suresh, G., Meenakshisundaram, V. & Gajendran, V. (2009). Evaluation of natural radionuclide content in river sediments and excess lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity research. *Journal* of Environmental and Earth Sciences, 1, 1, pp. 6 - 10.

- Santawamaitre, T., Regan P. H., Bradley, D. A., Matthew M., Malain, D. & Al-Sulaiti, H. A. (2010). An evaluation of the level of naturally occurring radioactive material in soil samples along the Chao Phraya river basin. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*, 619, pp. 453-456
- Sowole, O. (2014). Assessment of radiological hazard indices from surface soil to individuals from major markets at Sagamu Ogun State, Nigeria. *Science World Journal*, 9, 3, pp. 1–4
- Sowole, O. & Egunjobi, K. A. (2019). Radioactivity assessment of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in surface soil samples of Igbokoda, Southwest of Nigeria. *Tanzania Journal of Science*, 45, 3, pp. 307-314
- Tawalbeh, A. A., Samat, S. B., Yasir, M. S. & Omar, M. (2012). Radiological impact of drinks intakes of naturally occurring radionuclides on adults of central zone of Malaysia. *The Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*, 16, 2, pp. 187 – 193
- Tettey-Larbi L, Darko, E. O., Schandorf, C. & Appiah, A. A. (2013). Natural radioactivity levels of some medicinal plants commonly used in Ghana. *Springer Plus*, 2, 1, pp. 1-9
- Tufail, M., Akhtar, N., Javied, S. & Hamid, T. (2007). Natural radioactivity hazards of building bricks fabricated from saline soil of two districts of Pakistan. *Journal of Radiological Protection*, 27, 4, pp. 481-492
- Turhan, S. & Gündüz, L. (2008). Determination of specific activity of Ra-226, Th-232 and K-40 for assessment of radiation hazards from Turkish pumice samples. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 99, 2, pp. 332-342



- UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation) (2000). Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. New York. United Nations.
- Xinwei, L., Lingqing, W., Xiaodan, J., Leipeng, Y. & Gelian, D. (2006). Specific Activity and Hazards of Archeozoic-Cambrian Rock Samples Collected from the Weibei Area of Shaanxi, China. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 118, 3, pp. 352-359
- Yang, Y. X., Wu, X. M., Jiang, Z. Y., Wang, W. X., Lu, J. G., Lin, J., Wang, L. M. & Hsia, Y. F. (2005). Radioactivity concentrations in soils of the Xiazhuang granite area China. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 63, pp. 255-259.

### Declarations

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Data availability

All data used in this study will be readily available to the public.

**Consent for publication** 

Not Applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The publisher has the right to make the data public.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declared no conflict of interest. **Funding** 

There is no source of external funding.

### Authors' contribution

SO conceived the idea. SO and EKA collected the samples from the field and processed them. SO carried out the computational calculations and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and made appropriate input.

