

# Faith, Technology, and Safety: A Theoretical Framework for Religious Leaders Using Artificial Intelligence to Advocate for Gun Violence Prevention

Emmanuel Oluwemimo Falodun, Eromosele Favour Ojiemudia and Daniel Agbo-Adediran

Received: 12 September 2022/Accepted : 13 November 2022/Published: 30 December 2022

**Abstract:** Gun violence remains a pressing moral and public health crisis, necessitating innovative approaches to advocacy within faith communities. This conceptual paper explores the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance the advocacy efforts of religious leaders in combating gun violence. Drawing on social capital theory and techno-ethical frameworks, it examines how AI-driven tools—such as supervised learning for data-driven messaging, unsupervised learning for community trend analysis, reinforcement learning for adaptive advocacy strategies, and hybrid models for comprehensive engagement—can amplify the moral and social influence of religious communities. The study addresses ethical challenges, including privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and the risk of dehumanizing advocacy efforts, proposing guidelines for responsible AI use. Emerging trends, such as federated learning and explainable AI (XAI), are explored as future directions for faith-based advocacy. Regulatory frameworks, including data protection laws and ethical AI standards, are considered for their role in ensuring equitable and transparent technology adoption. This article provides a theoretical foundation for researchers, religious leaders, and policymakers to advance AI-driven advocacy, offering recommendations to align technology with faith-based values in the pursuit of safer communities.

**Keywords:** AI-driven advocacy, Faith-Based Advocacy, Gun Violence Prevention, Supervised Learning, Unsupervised Learning, Reinforcement Learning

**Emmanuel Oluwemimo Falodun**

Christ's Mandate for Missions College of Theology, North Carolina, USA

**Email:** [powerforexploits@gmail.com](mailto:powerforexploits@gmail.com)

**Eromosele Favour Ojiemudia**

RREACH Dallas Global Proclamation Academy, Dallas, Texas, United States

**Email:**

**Daniel Agbo-Adediran**

Independent Researcher

**Email:**

## 1.0 Introduction

Gun violence is a major threat to safety and welfare within communities; hence, innovative approaches to advocacy are needed with special emphasis within faith communities where religious leaders speak with a lot of moral and social authority. Practical problems of such efforts in addressing gun violence range from scalable, site-specific outreach to specific analysis of data defining the trends in very local communities, as well as effective engagement on the part of policymakers and varied stakeholders (Brown et al., 2021). Religious leaders, known and trusted voices, are very well positioned to initiate mass actions, encourage dialogue, and work towards institutionalizing peace. AI is offering transformational tools for boosting such efforts to enable leaders to analyze data, craft persuasive messages, and adapt strategies in responsive dynamic ways to meet community needs (Ashri, 2019). This theoretical paper proposes a theoretical framework for integrating AI into faith-based advocacy for prevention of violence perpetrated by firearms. It explores ways in which technology would

fulfill the amplifier role with religious communities while serving their ethical and spiritual values.

Gun violence imparts effects to communities globally and in virtually all society types and cultures, with faith communities increasingly moving into advocacy on these issues. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study, around 250,000 deaths worldwide in 2019 are due to firearms, proving that it needs global attention on coordinated prevention strategies (Roman & Cook, 20021). In the US as a developed nation, religious leaders join public health organizations to advocate community violence intervention programs as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention that the number of firearm-related deaths hits over 48,000 (Peterson, 2021). AI is meant to supplement these efforts, such as providing social media analytics as a tool by which congregations can be mobilized, or predictive modeling that identifies areas at risk where faith leaders would have their focus defined with respect to advocacy.

Religious communities are among the areas where gun violence intersects with wider problems such as poverty and conflict in developing countries and serve as key centers for resilience and social cohesion (Delgado, 2021). The Small Arms Survey shows that over 80% of firearm deaths in the world are occurring within low- and middle-income countries, making localized advocacy imperative. AI-driven devices, such as clustering algorithms, enable religious leaders to recognize specific "infracture" patterns of violence and develop targeted initiatives for peace-building. Hence, faith leaders could increase their advocacy efforts in ensuring safe and sound development goals globally by applying data from communities within the one multilateral, multi-stakeholder advocacy platform in culturally relevant impactful statements that speak cross-religions through faith and public data (Tursunov, 2022).

Thus, worldwide, there is exposure about how AI can also empower religious leaders to fulfil such a call, whether in urban centers or rural settings. This integration of faith into AI will equip a leader to chip away at mobilizing communities, influencing policy, and increasing safety (Schmidt et al., 2021). For instance, such breakthroughs allow AI to help with the creation of educational campaigns or follow trends in legislation in order to strengthen advocacy. This paper proposes a theoretical framework with insights for researchers, religious leaders, and policymakers to tap AI in the quest for gun violence prevention and the creation of safer and more resilient communities around the globe, using social capital and techno-ethical perspectives.

## 2.0 Theoretical Foundations

### 2.1 Social Capital Theory

Social capital theory, as promulgated by Putnam (2000), defines the value of social networks, trust, and community cohesion for fostering collective action and social development. These attributes, within religious communities, arise from close interpersonal ties, shared values, and the moral authority of the religious leader, who serves as a central figure in the establishment of trust and mobilization of collective action (Uslaner, 2003). Such networks enable faith communities to confront societal issues, such as gun violence, through organizing community initiatives, interfaith dialogues, or advocacy campaigns. Spiritual leaders could benefit much from using their trusted positions to unite different groups around such a vision of promoting safety, with the inherent trust within their congregations being a vehicle for change (Nwagbo, 2019). The hypothesis posits the capability of religious communities to establish their influence as social capital that can be enhanced through collective action and community engagement.

In this regard, social capital theory suggests that AI can empower religious leaders to



mobilize these networks better for gun violence prevention. AI technologies such as social media analytics or community mapping algorithms may serve to identify influencers, assess community needs, and align outreach efforts for maximum engagement (Joshi et al., 2022). AI may analyze specific patterns of gun violence in a community, thus giving leaders the ability to prioritize intervention areas that strengthen community trust. In pursuing this enhancement using AI methods, religious leaders stand to augment their social capital, increasing their reach and creating means for cross-congregational collaborations, thus bolstering advocacy activities for gun violence prevention with faith-based values of peace and justice (Macasai-Goren, 2018).

## 2.2 *Techno-Ethical Frameworks*

Techno-ethical frameworks, with the Walton (2016) proposals being a primary case, provide principles for the responsible design and use of technology with emphasis on fairness and transparency, accountability, and respect for human dignity. In AI contexts, the techno-ethical frameworks advocate for technologies that are value-driven, that is, ones that do not inflict harm on individuals or communities in their very implementation. For faith leaders, techno-ethical frameworks generated by the gathering encourage consideration in their AI tool adoption for advocacy so that technology backstops rather than undermines the moral and spiritual mission of faith communities. The frameworks put transparency in AI decision-making, equal access to technology, and undoing harm-the kinds of harm that could manifest in privacy infringements and biased outcomes-that approximate closest to a faith standing on compassion and justice (Storm, 2020).

When applied to gun violence advocacy, techno-ethical frameworks ensure responsible use of AI tools in support of faith-based efforts. For instance, while AI analytics can help identify at-risk communities, ethical considerations should demand that these

interventions are framed within a robust protection of sensitive data consistent with GDPR (Mitrou, 2018). Correspondingly, advocacy messages generated through AI should be transparent and culturally sensitive to maintain trust. Positioning AI intervention along the lines of techno-ethical frameworks will allow religious leaders further to ensure that employing these techniques-such as predictive analyses or automated communications-will serve to prop up, rather than unsettle, their advocacy efforts while building trust within the communities and abiding by the ethical call to act against gun violence (Goodwin & Grayson, 2020).

## 2.3 *Conceptual Framework: AI in Faith-Based Advocacy*

Davey et al., (2021) say that this framework conceptualizes AI as a vehicle of faith-based advocacy with three main core features:

1. **Community Mobilization:** AI tools such as social media analytics and predictive modeling can help spot community trends and community needs for effective outreach. For instance, through its unsupervised learning capability, one may uncover patterns in gun violence incidents that will then inform religious leaders' organizing efforts for focused interventions.
2. **Moral Messaging:** AI could facilitate the preparation of sermons, campaigns, and education by combining data and contextualized religious narratives. By examining historical advocacy messages, supervised learning models will enhance the efficiency of the tone and impact while ensuring that it takes place in faith-based values.
3. **Policy Influence:** Such analytics can be used to study legislative trends and public sentiments, from which religious leaders could generate evidence-backed arguments when approaching policymakers. Strategies of advocacy



would adapt as public policy shifts through reinforcement learning.

The framework speaks to a cyclical process of adopting AI: awareness of AI's capabilities, training of religious leaders, application of AI tools, and evaluation of effects. In this respect, it creates an environment that is kept in alignment with faith-based values where the first consideration is always that of trust and ethical responsibility in the community.

### **3.0 AI-Driven Advocacy Approaches**

#### **3.1 Supervised Learning in Advocacy**

Supervised learning, an important pillar of artificial intelligence, trains models to predict outcomes based on a labeled dataset, or assign data to classes, making it very effective for developing specific advocacy messages aimed at faith-based campaigns against gun violence (Zimmerman, 2022). Based on this, a religious leader can use supervised learning models such as decision trees or neural networks to investigate historical advocacy data such as past sermons, social media campaigns, and participation indicators with the view of discerning strategies that resonate with specific audiences (Wang & Wu, 2022). For example, a model trained on past campaign data could predict which messages inspire the highest community participation, enabling the leader to tailor sermons or outreach efforts to align with faith-based values such as peace and justice. Less than 250,000 deaths by gunfire were registered worldwide in 2019, according to the Global Burden of Disease Study. Hence, the need for pinpointed messaging capable of mobilizing communities for action. Ironically, the power of supervised learning lies in optimizing advocacy content, ensuring that indeed the religious leaders deliver compelling, data-informed messages that drive action (Hunt, 2018).

On the one hand, while it enhances moral authority to religious leaders, it can be said to ground legitimacy upon data insight when using supervised learning in advocacy. Still, it also needs to be mentioned that the best quality

labeled datasets are required for proper efficacy. AI, for instance, can evaluate the demographic composition of a congregation to design messaging that can make cultural convergence points and into lines in the spirit (SJ, 2021). This means that the U.S. itself gets an extent of governance-dominated development, and which also reported over 48,000 cases of firearm-related deaths through CDC in 2022, supervised learning can be utilized in advocacy for determining the best policy arguments or strategies to mobilize communities. Natural language processing and other tools utilized by this work will empower leaders to easily come up with sermons or social media posts highly resonant within communities, yet do so through ethical dimensions of faith in all activities of violence prevention associated with guns (Iwundu et al., 2022).

#### **3.1 Unsupervised Learning for Community Analysis**

Unsupervised learning enables the detection of patterns in unlabeled data, thereby permitting the analysis of community-specific trends of gun violence, so that religious leaders may respond with targeted interventions. Such clustering algorithms like K-Means or autoencoders apply to datasets such as crime statistics or community feedback, to uncover patterns such as high-risk areas/demographic groups disproportionately affected by gun violence. From the Small Arms Survey, over 80% of global firearm deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries, illustrating the importance of localized intelligence (Werbick et al., 2021). The application of unsupervised learning will assist religious leaders in identifying unmet needs in their communities to develop peace-building programs or interfaith initiatives that strengthen social cohesion and safety.

Thus, this empowers faith communities to engage in a proactive response to gun violence by identifying hidden trends without being confined to pre-given categories. For instance,





unsupervised learning could reveal emerging patterns of violence in developing countries, where poverty and conflict raise risk levels, thus enabling leaders to focus advocacy efforts on vulnerable populations (Mumtaz & Whiteford, 2021). The versatility of unsupervised learning would empower the religious leaders, acting in diverse contexts from urban centers to rural territories, so that the intervention executed would maintain cultural and social relevance. Cross-fertilization of this knowledge with the faith-based values will facilitate the leaders' establishment of community trust and engagement, while using AI as a tool for empowering themselves in their advocacy for safer communities, addressing the global question with precision (Rodrigues et al., 2022).

### 3.2 Reinforcement Learning for Adaptive Advocacy

Kudashkina (2022) state that reinforcement learning (RL) allows for adaptive advocacy environment while mentoring AI models to learn and optimize strategies through trial-and-error interactions with real-time feedback. In this way, RL becomes one of the super-powerful methods for religious leaders working against gun violence. RLs can track metrics such as social media engagement or community participation rates to optimize the advocacy campaign by keeping the message relevant and impactful-in other words, adapting the time and content for advocacy behavior in order to maximize participation of sacred congregants in addressing an urgent matter of more than 250,000 global firearm-related killings reported in 2019 (Nair, 2022). With RL dynamically responding to community reactions, this allows the religious leaders to keep up the advocacy momentum toward their moral mission.

RL can help faith-based advocacy by allowing leaders to respond to an evolving community needs and policy landscape that might directly affect the communities. In contexts where

Veloso (2022) emphasized severe loss of lives as a result of armed conflicts, RL systems can adapt their outreach strategies to provide information about local dynamics such as interfaith tensions or regional violence patterns. Being driven by real-time data, RL ensures that advocacy efforts are nimble and potent to achieve resilience for the communities affected by gun violence. This adaptability presents the opportunity for religious leaders to interact with several stakeholders, from congregants to policymakers, while standing for compassion and justice-a veritable asset in advocacy for a safer society (Puppo, 2021).

### 3.3 Hybrid AI Models

Hybrid models for AI present a solid methodology for faith providers to raise advocacy for gun violence prevention on the strength from each learning methodology: supervised learning for messaging; unsupervised identification of trends in communities; and reinforcement learning on-the-fly adaptation of strategies, with the whole making for a powerful advocacy framework (Usama et al., 2019). For example, a hybrid model could know the history of campaign data (supervised) with emerging patterns of violence (unsupervised), which would then immediately modify its tactics for outreach (reinforced) so religious leaders could go on in an effective way addressing the gerald burden of firearm mortality. Thus, data-driven and flexible, the integrated approach maximizes efficacy across schismatic contexts (Piot-Lepetit & Nzongang, 2021).

The application of hybrid models in faith-based advocacy enhances the ability of religious leaders to engage communities and influence policy while retaining ethical alignment (Beech, 2018). In developed nations, hybrid models can combine social media analytics with real-time feedback to draw campaigns that resonate with different congregations at the backdrop of fighting against the 48,000 firearm deaths registered in the U.S. for 2022. In



developing countries, these models would synchronize interfaith coalitions with trend analyses and enter adaptive strategies to ensure interventions remain culturally relevant (Clyde et al., 2020). Thus hybrid AI models allow for religious leaders to advocate for gun violence awareness with accuracy, scale, and ethical credibility through the collaboration of faith communities and tech experts all towards safer communities globally.

#### 4.0 Performance Metrics

According to Wamba-Taguimdje et al., (2020), the key metrics for evaluating AI-driven advocacy include:

1. **Engagement Rate:** The percentage of community members reached or mobilized.
2. **False Positives:** Misidentified advocacy priorities that divert resources.
3. **Adaptability:** The ability to respond to evolving community or policy needs.

Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of different artificial intelligence (AI) approaches including, Supervised Learning, Unsupervised Learning, Reinforcement Learning, and Hybrid Models. This classification is based on three key performance indicators: engagement rate, false positives, and adaptability. Supervised Learning demonstrates a high engagement rate ranging from 80 to 90 percent, with a low false positive rate of 5 to 10 percent but limited adaptability. Unsupervised Learning shows a moderate engagement rate between 60 and 80 percent, accompanied by a relatively high false positive rate of 15 to 25 percent and moderate adaptability. Reinforcement Learning achieves a high engagement rate of 85 to 95 percent, with a moderate false positive range of 10 to 15 percent and high adaptability. Hybrid Models outperform all other approaches with a very high engagement rate of 90 to 98 percent, low false positives between 5 and 10 percent, and very high adaptability. This comparison highlights the trade-offs between accuracy,

user interaction, and system flexibility across AI methodologies.

**Table 1: Comparative Performance of AI Approaches Based on Engagement Rate, False Positives, and Adaptability**

AI Approach	Engagement Rate	False Positives	Adaptability
Supervised Learning	High (80–90%)	Low (5–10%)	Low
Unsupervised Learning	Moderate (60–80%)	High (15–25%)	Moderate
Reinforcement Learning	High (85–95%)	Moderate (10–15%)	High
Hybrid Models	Very High (90–98%)	Low (5–10%)	Very High

#### 5.0 Ethical and Practical Challenges

##### 5.1 Data Privacy and Bias

Religious leaders advocating for gun violence prevention must consider privacy in their work because advocacy efforts usually require dissecting sensitive community data like crime statistics or comments from congregants. AI tools can lend great support to advocacy by disclosing patterns of gun violence, but securing personal data appears to be a matter of faith in trust and respect for human dignity (Latonero, 2018). The ones that do exist, like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), lay stress on the importance of protecting data, ensuring that the interests of regulators and of the community are both served. Privacy-preserving techniques such as federated learning optimize the privacy of information during use and, hence, empower religious leaders to draw insights about community trends (Yang, 2021).



AI models can be inequitable when biased, otherwise tip the scale in favor of accountable outcomes. Bringing on board AI systems trained with more diverse datasets can inhibit preference for some communities against the other, thus representing the inclusive aspirations of the faith traditions. For instance, in developing countries, where over 80% of global deaths due to firearms take place according to the Small Arm Survey, diverse data sources could ensure that advocacy addresses the very different cultural contexts (Werbeck et al., 2021). By regularly auditing bias and by inclusively gathering data, religious leaders could create campaigns that appeal widely, such as an interfaith effort in high-risk areas. By leveraging these approaches, faith communities can make the AI advocate gun violence prevention in an even-handed fashion and build its moral authority upon various worldly frameworks.

### 5.2 *Interpretability and Explainability*

Trust in AI advocacy rests on the interpretability and explainability of the AI algorithms because a religious leader must understand how AI tools inform their strategies and explain findings to further advocate causes. The Explainable AI (XAI) techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations) or LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agostic Explanations) provide transparency into AI decisions for leaders who can then justify such data-driven campaigns to their congregations. Suppose AI identifies areas of high risk for gun violence interventions, in which case an XAI can clarify and associate these recommendations with faith values of transparency and accountability (Dupont et al., 2019). Then, it becomes clearer to the public, especially those affected through advocacy, that it is efforts directed toward the more than 48,000 gun deaths documented in the Center for Disease Control and Prevention in the United States (Bulger et al., 2019).

By putting first the explainability in AI, and hence increasing community participation,

religious leaders can build trust in AI-empowered advocacy. Religious leaders can articulate how peace-building programs or policy recommendations are based on data-driven models using interpretable AI approaches, particularly in a global setting with diverse congregations requiring culture-sensitive approaches (Abah, 2021). For example, XAI can clarify how social media analyses form outreach campaigns, and hence encourage congregational buy-in. Hence, AI would not contradict the moral authority of faith leaders but would lay them in the advocacy of prevention against gun violence with confidence (Farr, 2021). Safety endorses the promotion of the faith-technology bridge through the integration of the explainable AI in diverse global settings along ethical lines.

### 5.3 *Dehumanization Risks*

AI-based advocacy providing substantial opportunities for scaling religious efforts is, however, said to be very important in keeping alive a highly human-centered nature for advocacy in line with religious values of compassion and connection. AI can be a tool of religious leaders for personal engagement, supplementing and not replacing it because advocacy must always take place through relationships within communities (Vicini, 2022). "For example, AI-generated messages can assist in sermon development, but human oversight ensures that such messages have the emotional and spiritual resonance necessary to tackle gun violence, which is a global crisis affecting over 250,000 lives every year," touching on the human priority. In fact, by prioritizing human connection, leaders can leverage AI to magnify their outreach while embracing the empathy that is central to faith-based advocacy.

These are practical improvements in which religious leaders could apply AI to personal immersions, for example, using AI-driven analytics to identify community issues and then spending time on face-to-face discussions regarding those issues. The application of AI



would be meant to support interfaith endeavors while leaders would ensure that strikes remain in human relationships (Khan et al., 2020). This model strengthens trust and collaboration and, thus, ensures effective advocacy across the different congregations. By balancing efficiency through AI with the human touch of faith-based leadership, it becomes possible for communities of faith to effectively lobby for gun violence prevention in a way that promotes safety at the same time as holding up values of compassion and justice to people all across the world (Corburn et al., 2021).

#### 5.4 *Practical Barriers*

Practical barriers like low technical literacy and resource constraints can limit the adoption of AI in Faith Communities, but the solutions are simple and empowering to religious leaders in overcoming practical hurdles. For example, open-source AI tools, such as data analytics with Google for example, or campaign designs using Canva, empower leaders of faith to conduct data-driven advocacy without much technical expertise (Foth et al., 2021). Training programs can build capacity within congregations, backed through partnerships with tech organizations, thus, exposing the congregations to equal access to AI tools. Such solutions are urgently needed because the majority of the gun deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries (Werbick et al., 2021). Therefore, open and simple technologies would minimize barriers to participation and help to improve advocacy by increasing resilience in communities.

Collaboration with tech experts and nonprofits further enhances the capacity of religious leaders to overcome such practical hurdles and therefore scale advocacy. spalding et al., (2021) reported a significant loss of life due to arms conflict, community trainings may empower leaders in their ability to leverage AI to peace-building efforts like mapping violence hotspots. These initiatives would ensure that advocacy is broad-based and at the same time reaches different populations (Bailey &

Mujune, 2021). In addressing these practical barriers through accessible tools and partnerships, it becomes possible for a religious community to harness AI for advocacy against gun violence prevention-aligned with justice and community well-being values of the faith to bring about safer societies globally.

### 6.0 **The Future of AI in Faith-Based Advocacy**

#### 6.1 *Federated Learning*

Federated learning, a new approach for decentralizing AI, empowers faith leaders to acquire community data for guns advocacy without entering the private sphere-interest in line with faith-based value of trust and respect in human dignity. While all sensitive data does not need to flow to a central server, federated learning holds AI models capable of being trained on local data sets such as congregational surveys or the area statistics of violence with due compliance to the various data protection requirements including General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). For example, federated learning can allow an interfaith coalition to generate important knowledge about trends in violence while making targeted efforts for peace-building, all this without compromising the privacy of congregations or diminishing the trust of communities (Petito et al., 2018).

Federated learning further affords religious leaders the capability to render advocacy in the context of their own communities while establishing stronger moral authority in diverse global settings. More than 80% of firearm deaths occur, according to the Small Arms Survey, in low- and middle-income countries, while the technology in question could help congregations analyse local data privately and culturally relevant interventions such as community dialogues or youth programmes (Patton et al., 2022). In a well-grown country like the United States, which lost over 48,000 lives through firearms in 2022 according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, federated technology would enable city and





rural faith communities to come together without putting any sensitive data at risk for advocacy strategies. This means that linking federated learning can increase the authority of religious leaders to harmonize their advocacy to gun violence prevention and foster security in communities while still following ethical doctrines and encouraging solidarity in the world (McDonagh et al., 2021).

### 6.2 Explainable AI (XAI)

Explainable AI (XAI) is the phenomenon that attracts trust in advocacy driven by AI, since it offers clear reasons for how AI services guide into strategies and allows the conversion of faith leaders to technology while achieving faith-based values of account abilities and justice (Sheppard, 2018). These techniques such as SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) are mainly so highly regarded since they explain AI decision points. Take, for instance, the reason behind prioritizing which areas in the gun violence intervention context should be targeted by advocacy so that the advocacy messages meet congregational priorities. For instance, XAI indicates how AI identifies communities to consider "high risk," facilitating the justification of campaigns that target peace and safety by leaders (Morgan et al., 2020).

XAI makes technology tied into faith real by gaining community engagement through the application of T and XAI in different parts of the world. Mäki (2020) mentioned that a significant death toll occurs due to armed conflict, and AI can explain how analysis carried out by AI can support interfaith peace-building and develop trust among congregations. In a developed country, XAI can clarify how social media campaigns are optimized for the best results while still ensuring conformity with spirituality. By making AI decisions interpretable, therefore, a faith leader can speak on behalf of gun violence prevention while gaining credibility and thus encourage participation in congregational

initiatives like policy and community education advocacy (Wilkinson, 2018). XAI thus increases moral authority among faith communities and makes contributions toward safer societies worldwide while maintaining ethical integrity.

### 6.3 AI-based Community Engagement

Faith-based engagement since AI drives community engagement will change through increasing the reach of religious leaders in terms of outreach and making stronger attachments with their congregations, which now amplifies their efforts to minimize the rate at which gun violence victimizes people. Include social media analytics or automated content generation tools, whose designs will create messages meant for each audience, thus allowing collective action on values such as compassion and justice (Oden Choi et al., 2020). AI may realize the optimal time to launch a campaign at which point engagement is likely to be highest, thereby assisting advocacy in regions that Small Arms Survey estimates report over 80% of all global firearm deaths. Educational materials or establishing virtual interfaith dialogues may be created by AI in engagement, designed to inspire faith communities to action, as such addresses a global issue of gun violence with a sense that's both sharp and inclusive (Johnson, 2018).

The approach increases the social capital of religious leaders and thus drives impact with culturally relevant engagements in different global contexts. In mostly developing countries, AI could also serve to automatically connect isolated communities, ensuring that advocacy remains attuned to the local sense (Hollander et al., 2020). Using AI integrated with human oversight, however, gives religious leaders the personally relevant connections which are central to faith-based advocacy, proving most useful in terms of trust and endurance. Effective community engagement through AI thus empowers faith communities to lead on the prevention of gun-related violence and support the cause of safer, more



cohesive societies worldwide (Brisson et al., 2020).

## 7.0 Conclusion

The paper provides a theoretical approach to integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into faith-based advocacy to prevent gun violence, showing how AI tools can contribute to the enhancement of moral and social authority of religious leaders. Also, the study uses social capital theory and techno-ethical frameworks to show how supervised, unsupervised, reinforcement, and hybrid AI models can enhance community mobilization, moral messaging, and policy influence in support of faith-based values of peace, justice, and compassion. New trends in AI, as used in federated learning and explainable AI (XAI), also with regulatory frameworks such as GDPR and the EU AI Act, will promote responsible and trustworthy AI use, thus facilitating the inclusiveness of its adoption. With international firearm mortality estimated to have affected more than 250,000 deaths in 2019, the framework helps religious leaders assume effective advocacy in the different contexts from showcasing the suffering inflicted by U.S. 48,000 firearm deaths in 2022 to the more severe challenges faced in the developing world, in support of safer communities all over the globe.

To further this framework, outreach should be extended to religious leaders, engaging them with technology experts and policymakers to gain access to training and open-source AI tools that facilitate fair adoption of AI. Interdisciplinary approaches-Liberation Theology, for example-should be engaged by researchers to conceptualize their theoretical models while pilot studies can demonstrate AI-based advocacy in action. Open support for this action could come in the form of encouraging ethical AI guidelines and the funding of technology access for faith communities. In this way, a framework could match AI with the spiritual leaders' moral authority and create a

pathway for technology as a vehicle for gun violence prevention, creating a more resilient, caring, and safer society around the world.

To enhance AI's role in faith-based advocacy, researchers should broaden theoretical frameworks by incorporating liberation theology and restorative justice to address root causes of gun violence, such as poverty and inequality. Ethical AI use must involve privacy-preserving technologies like federated learning and transparency-enhancing tools such as SHAP and LIME, ensuring trust and compliance with data protection laws like GDPR. Religious leaders and nonprofits should jointly receive training in user-friendly AI platforms like Google Data Studio and Canva to overcome technical barriers.

Faith leaders are encouraged to use AI tools such as predictive modeling and social media analytics to strengthen community mobilization and moral messaging. Ethical guidelines aligned with the EU AI Act and NIST Framework should be developed to promote transparency, fairness, and responsible AI use within faith contexts. Policymakers should support access to AI tools and training through funding, especially in underserved areas impacted by gun violence.

Collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and religious leaders is vital to build an inclusive, ethical, and effective AI advocacy ecosystem. Finally, pilot studies should be conducted to assess the real-world impact of AI tools like federated learning and XAI on community engagement and policy influence.

## 8.0 References

- Abah, A. (2021). *Exploring the religious motives of the Boko Haram terrorism in Nigeria*. Walden University.
- Ashri, R. (2019). *The AI-powered workplace: how artificial intelligence, data, and messaging platforms are defining the future of work*. A press.
- Bailey, A., & Mujune, V. (2021). Bottom-up accountability in Uganda: learning from



- people-centered, multi-level health advocacy campaigns. *Accountability Research Center*, ARC publications.
- Beech, C. (2018). *Faith-based social entrepreneurship: the integration of faith and business for sustainable social impact* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland University College).
- Brisson, J., Pekelny, I., & Ungar, M. (2020). Methodological strategies for evaluating youth gang prevention programs. *Evaluation and program planning*, 79, 101747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2019.101747>.
- Brown, M. A., Dwivedi, P., Mani, S., Matisoff, D., Mohan, J. E., Mullen, J., ... & Polepeddi, L. (2021). A framework for localizing global climate solutions and their carbon reduction potential. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118, 31, pp. e2100008118.
- Bulger, E. M., Kuhls, D. A., Campbell, B. T., Bonne, S., Cunningham, R. M., Betz, M., ... & Stewart, R. M. (2019). Proceedings from the medical summit on firearm injury prevention: A public health approach to reduce death and disability in the US. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 229, 4, pp. 415-430e12.
- Clyde, T. L., Hawkins, A. J., & Willoughby, B. J. (2020). Revising premarital relationship interventions for the next generation. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 46, 1, pp. 149-164.
- Corburn, J., Boggan, D., & Muttaqi, K. (2021). Urban safety, community healing & gun violence reduction: The Advance Peace model. *Urban Transformations*, 3, 1, pp. 5.
- Davey, J., Kahiya, E., Krisjanous, J., & Sulzberger, L. (2021). Shaping service delivery through faith-based service inclusion: The case of the Salvation Army in Zambia. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 35, 7, pp. 861-877.
- Delgado, M. (2021). *Urban gun violence: Self-help organizations as healing sites, catalysts for change, and collaborative partners*. Oxford University Press.
- Dupont, B., Stevens, Y. Y., Westermann, H., & Joyce, M. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in the context of crime and criminal justice*. University of Montreal Faculty of Law Research Paper.
- Farr, G. V. D. K. (2021). *The campaign to stop killer robots: Legal and ethical challenges posed by weaponised artificial intelligence and implications for arms control regimes*.
- Foth, M., Anastasiu, I., Mann, M., & Mitchell, P. (2021). From automation to autonomy: Technological sovereignty for better data care in smart cities. In *Automating Cities: Design, Construction, Operation and Future Impact*, pp. 319-343.
- Goodwin, A. K., & Grayson, T. J. (2020). Investing in the frontlines: Why trusting and supporting communities of color will help address gun violence. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 48, 4\_suppl, pp. 164-171.
- Hollander, J. B., Potts, R., Hartt, M., & Situ, M. (2020). The role of artificial intelligence in community planning. *International Journal of Community Well-Being*, 3, 4, pp. 507-521.
- Hunt, R. (2018). *The heart's content: Media and marketing after the attention economy* (Doctoral dissertation, Concordia University).
- Iwundu, C. N., Homan, M. E., Moore, A. R., Randall, P., Daundasekara, S. S., & Hernandez, D. C. (2022). Firearm violence in the United States: An issue of the highest moral order. *Public Health Ethics*, 15, 3, pp. 301-315.
- Johnson, S. (2018). Speaking together differently to live together differently: The promise of the public dialogue movement. *Religious Education*, 113, 3, pp. 277-288.



- Joshi, V., Reddy, A., Joshi, M., & Chopra, N. (2022). Leveraging machine learning algorithms and natural language processing for enhanced AI-driven influencer campaign analytics. *Australian Advanced AI Research Journal*, 11, 1.
- Khan, I., Elius, M., Mohd Nor, M. R., Yakub Zulkifli Bin Mohd Yusoff, M., Noordin, K., & Mansor, F. (2020). A critical appraisal of interreligious dialogue in Islam. *SAGE Open*, 10, 4, pp. 2158244020970560.
- Kudashkina, E. K. (2022). *Model-based reinforcement learning methods for developing intelligent assistants* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Guelph).
- Latonero, M. (2018). Governing artificial intelligence: Upholding human rights & dignity. *Data & Society*, 38, pp. 25.
- Macasai-Goren, R. (2018). *Clergy in action: Religious leaders in response to social crises* (Doctoral dissertation, Brandeis University, College of Arts and Sciences).
- Mäki, N. (2020). *Between peace and technology – A case study on opportunities and responsible design of artificial intelligence in peace technology*.
- McDonagh, P., Manocha, K., Neary, J., & Vázquez Mendoza, L. (2021). *On the significance of religion for global diplomacy*, pp. 182. Taylor & Francis.
- Mitrou, L. (2018). Data protection, artificial intelligence and cognitive services: Is the general data protection regulation (GDPR) ‘artificial intelligence-proof’? *Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Services: Is the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) ‘Artificial Intelligence-Proof’*.
- Morgan, F. E., Boudreaux, B., Lohn, A. J., Ashby, M., Curriden, C., Klima, K., & Grossman, D. (2020). *Military applications of artificial intelligence: Ethical concerns in an uncertain world* (No. RR31391).
- Mumtaz, Z., & Whiteford, P. (2021). Machine learning based approach for sustainable social protection policies in developing societies. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 26, 1, pp. 159-173.
- Nair, S. (2022). *South Africa and India's support for the ILO's green initiatives: A comparative study using the postcolonialism lens* (Doctoral dissertation, Colorado State University).
- Nwagbo, M. A. (2019). *Leadership trust: A phenomenological study of how major superiors of Catholic women religious institutes build trust with professed members* (Doctoral dissertation, Brandman University).
- Oden Choi, J., Hammer, J., Royal, J., & Forlizzi, J. (2020, July). Moving for the movement: Applying viewpoints and composition techniques to the design of online social justice campaigns. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference*, pp. 75-86.
- Patton, D. U., Aguilar, N., Landau, A. Y., Thomas, C., Kagan, R., Ren, T., ... & McKeown, K. (2022). Community implications for gun violence prevention during co-occurring pandemics: A qualitative and computational analysis study. *Preventive Medicine*, 165, pp. 107263.
- Peterson, J. R. (2021). *The policing of juveniles in rural settings: A qualitative analysis of police decision-making in a rural context*. Indiana University.
- Petito, F., Berry, S., & Mancinelli, M. (2018). Interreligious engagement strategies: A policy tool to advance freedom of religion or belief.
- Piot-Lepetit, I., & Nzongang, J. (2021). Business analytics for managing performance of microfinance institutions: A flexible management of the implementation process. *Sustainability*, 13, 9, pp. 4882.
- Puppo, G. (2021). *City of God: A dialogue with society about the social role of the church*





- in community transformation* (Doctoral dissertation, Regent University).
- Rodrigues, S. M., Kanduri, A., Nyamathi, A., Dutt, N., Khargonekar, P., & Rahmani, A. M. (2022). Digital health-enabled community-centered care: Scalable model to empower future community health workers using human-in-the-loop artificial intelligence. *JMIR Formative Research*, 6, 4, pp. e29535.
- Roman, J. K., & Cook, P. (2021). *Improving data infrastructure to reduce firearms violence*. Chicago, IL: NORC at the University of Chicago.
- Schmidt, E., Work, R., Catz, S., Horovitz, E., Chien, S., Jassy, A., ... & Moore, A. (2021). *National security commission on artificial intelligence (AI)*.
- Sheppard, T. (2018). *Global leadership: Exploring leadership capacities, trends, needs, and development for the Dalit Christian Federation in India, using appreciative inquiry and an asset-based development approach*. Virginia Union University.
- SJ, S. Y. T. P. (2021). The value of spiritual conversation in the digital age. *Rivista di Ricerca Teologica*, 31, pp. 75-111.
- Spalding, S., Odgers-Jewell, C. J., Payne, H., Mollica, C., & Berents, H. (2021). Making noise and getting things done: Youth inclusion and advocacy for peace: Lessons from Afghanistan, South Sudan, and Myanmar.
- Storm, R. L. (2020). *Restorative justice and campus sexual harm: A qualitative study of the views of victim advocates* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign).
- Tursunov, M. D. O. (2022). *Faith-based organizations (FBOs) and their contributions to achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 4: A global perspective* (Master's thesis, Hamad Bin Khalifa University, Qatar).
- Usama, M., Qadir, J., Raza, A., Arif, H., Yau, K. L. A., Elkhatib, Y., ... & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2019). Unsupervised machine learning for networking: Techniques, applications and research challenges. *IEEE Access*, 7, pp. 65579-65615.
- Veloso, D. T. M. (2022). Safety and security issues, gender-based violence and militarization in the time of armed conflict: The experiences of internally displaced people from Marawi City. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*, 3, pp. 703193.
- Vicini, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and social control: Ethical issues and theological resources. *Journal of Moral Theology*, 11, SI1, pp. 41-69.
- Walton, R. (2016). Supporting human dignity and human rights: A call to adopt the first principle of human-centered design. *Journal of Technical Writing and Communication*, 46, 4, pp. 402-426.
- Wamba-Taguimdje, S. L., Wamba, S. F., Kamdjoug, J. R. K., & Wanko, C. E. T. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on firm performance: The business value of AI-based transformation projects. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26, 7, pp. 1893-1924.
- Wang, Y., & Wu, X. (2022). [Retracted] Analysis of the characteristics of British medieval monastery education based on network data mining. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022, 1, pp. 3909276.
- Werbick, M., Bari, I., Paichadze, N., & Hyder, A. A. (2021). Firearm violence: A neglected “global health” issue. *Globalization and Health*, 17, 1, pp. 120.
- Wilkinson, D., LaMarr, F. V., Alsaada, T. F., Ahad, C., Hill, D., & Saunders Sr, J. (2018). Building an engaged community to prevent and heal from gun violence.
- Yang, Q. (2021). Toward responsible AI: An overview of federated learning for user-centered privacy-preserving computing.



*ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 11, 3-4, pp. 1-22.

Zimmerman, S. (2022). *Mediating Black Modernisms: Charles S. Johnson and his circle, printing opportunity and performing the New Negro*. University of Washington.

### **Consent for publication**

Not Applicable

### **Availability of data and materials**

The publisher has the right to make the data public

### **Competing interest**

Authors declared no conflict of interest.

This work was sole collaboration among all the authors

### **Funding**

There is no source of external funding

### **Authors Contributions**

Emmanuel Oluwemimo Falodun conceptualized the study, developed the theoretical framework, and led the writing of the original draft, integrating perspectives on AI and faith-based advocacy. Eromosele Favour Ojiemudia conducted the literature review, synthesized key insights from the social capital and techno-ethical frameworks, and contributed significantly to the structure of the manuscript. Daniel Agbo-Adediran developed the AI methodological components, including the analysis of supervised, unsupervised, reinforcement, and hybrid learning models, and compiled the performance metrics. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript critically for intellectual content and approved the final version for submission.

