Communication in Physical Sciences, 2024 11(4): 1089-1098

Curriculum Framework for Entrepreneurial Innovation among Special Needs Students in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

Ololade Omosunlade

Received: 19 August 2024/Accepted: 14 October 2024/Published: 26 October 2024

Abstract: The development of inclusive curricula for entrepreneurial education faces challenges due to the diversity of learners' needs, particularly among students with disabilities. This study presented a curriculum framework that integrated artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance entrepreneurial innovation for special needs students. Grounded in constructivism, experiential learning, differentiated instruction, and entrepreneurship education theories, the framework combined academic rigor with practical application. Using an Entrepreneurship-by-Design methodology, the study identified creativity, financial literacy, adaptive problem-solving, and resilience as core competencies. AI technologies were positioned as enablers of accessibility and inclusion through simulations, adaptive platforms, and assistive tools. The framework demonstrated applicability across diverse cultural and economic contexts, showing how mobile-based AI solutions reduced barriers in low-resource environments, while immersive AI applications such as virtual reality enriched practice in technologically advanced settings. Findings indicated that AI personalized learning, reduced barriers to participation, and fostered self-efficacy among learners with autism, ADHD, dyslexia, and mobility or communication impairments. Beyond individual benefits, the framework contributes to societal equity by broadening innovation ecosystems and aligning with policy objectives such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. The study concluded that AI-enhanced entrepreneurship education has the potential to transform special needs students into active innovators and recommended institution-wide adoption, policy reform, cross-sector collaboration, and longitudinal evaluation to ensure sustainable impact

***Keywords**: Entrepreneurship education, inclusive pedagogy, artificial intelligence in education, special needs learners, curriculum innovation, adaptive learning*

Ololade Omosunlade
Indiana University Bloomington, United States of America
Email:osomosun@iu.edu
Orcid id: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8611-3558

1.0 Introduction

Inclusive education remains a central issue worldwide as education systems continue to work toward reducing barriers for students with disabilities. Despite reforms in policy and practice, many students with special needs still struggle to access quality learning opportunities that lead to meaningful employment. Common obstacles include persistent workplace discrimination, inadequate accessibility structures, and a lack of career pathways designed with inclusivity in mind (Florian & Black-Hawkins, 2011). Traditional curriculum models often prepare students with disabilities only for participation in conventional sectors, leaving out entrepreneurship as a strategic option that could foster self-sufficiency, social integration, and long-term economic independence. Entrepreneurship education therefore emerges as a critical alternative pathway. It equips learners with innovation, problem solving skills, and resilience, competencies that are essential for thriving in rapidly changing economies (Fayolle&Gailly, 2015; Rae, 2017).

In recent years, research has drawn attention to the potential of artificial intelligence to transform education and entrepreneurship training. AI based tools such as adaptive learning platforms, virtual simulations, chatbots, and predictive analytics are enabling more personalized learning experiences and making content accessible for diverse learners (Abolade & Zhao, 2024). These tools reduce the instructional burden on educators while offering learners real time feedback and tailored learning trajectories that are aligned with their abilities and needs (Ajayi, 2023; Song et al., 2024). For students with disabilities, AI provides unique benefits that extend beyond traditional instruction. It allows for differentiated learning, removes barriers to participation, and creates opportunities for innovation and collaboration in entrepreneurial contexts (Chen et al., 2024).

Evidence from entrepreneurship education shows that AI can strengthen key aspects of entrepreneurial learning. Applications include AI assisted opportunity identification, automated market analysis, data driven decision support, and immersive simulations of entrepreneurial practice. These applications create an environment where learners can explore, test, and refine entrepreneurial ideas without the risks that accompany real world business ventures (Bell & Bell, 2023; Tan, 2020). Adaptive technologies such as speech recognition, text to speech, and virtual reality simulations also expand access, making entrepreneurial education more inclusive for students with visual, mobility, or communication challenges (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016).

While models exist for inclusive pedagogy and AI enhanced curriculum design, there has been limited effort to integrate these approaches into a unified framework that specifically addresses the entrepreneurial needs of students with disabilities. This paper responds to that gap by developing a curriculum framework that draws on constructivist and experiential learning traditions (Narayan et al., 2013), differentiated instruction models, and entrepreneurship education theories. The framework leverages AI not as an accessory but as a central enabler of personalized, equitable, and innovation driven learning experiences.

The purpose of this study is threefold. First, it contributes to scholarship by bridging theories of curriculum design, inclusive education, and entrepreneurship with practical application of AI. Second, it provides educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers with an evidence based model that supports autonomy, employability, and innovation among special needs students. Third, it addresses a broader social mission by reducing inequities in employment and innovation participation, while supporting international priorities such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals on quality education and decent work (UNESCO, 2017; UNESCO, 2021).

2.0 Theoretical Foundations

The curriculum framework for entrepreneurial innovation among students with special needs is anchored in established theories of learning and entrepreneurship education. These foundations provide both intellectual rigor and practical applicability, while also supporting the integration of artificial intelligence as a tool for accessibility and innovation.

2.1 Constructivism and Experiential Learning

Constructivism emphasizes that learners build knowledge actively when learning is contextual and connected to authentic experiences (Narayan et al., 2013). In entrepreneurship education, this involves engaging students in idea generation, market research, and prototype development. Rae (2017) notes that entrepreneurial learning is most effective when learners are able to move beyond theory into practice, supported by reflection and experimentation. Kolb's experiential learning cycle of experience, reflection, conceptualization, and

experimentation provides a structured way to reinforce this process. For students with disabilities, including those with autism spectrum disorder or attention related challenges, experiential approaches combined with AI powered tools such as adaptive simulations and gamified platforms provide safe, personalized environments for exploration, feedback, and growth.

2.2 Differentiated Instruction and Inclusive Pedagogy

Inclusive pedagogy ensures that instruction, content, and assessment are adapted to diverse needs. Differentiated instruction guarantees that learners with disabilities are not only accommodated but actively empowered to succeed (Florian & Black-Hawkins, 2011). Students with dyslexia, ADHD, or mobility impairments often face systemic exclusion when curricula are rigid. AI enhances inclusivity by providing tools such as speech to text, predictive analytics, and intelligent tutoring systems that adapt content in real time. These supports reduce communication barriers and promote confidence and autonomy in learning.

2.3 Entrepreneurship Education Theories

Entrepreneurship education focuses on competencies such as resilience, creativity, risk management, and opportunity recognition. Fayolle and Gailly (2015) argue that these competencies extend beyond business creation to lifelong adaptability within innovation systems. For special needs learners, entrepreneurship education offers transformative potential by equipping them with adaptive problem solving skills and confidence to engage in innovation ecosystems. AI supports this learning by enabling data driven decision making, automating market analysis, and providing realistic simulations that prepare students for entrepreneurial practice (Chen et al., 2024; Bell & Bell, 2023; Tan, 2020).

2.4 Special Needs Learners and Inclusive Technologies

Special needs learners, including those with autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, dyslexia, and communication or mobility impairments, benefit significantly from technologies that address their specific learning needs. Research shows that AI supported tools such as adaptive learning environments, virtual reality, and assistive communication systems improve engagement and learning outcomes for these groups (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016). For example, students with autism often thrive in structured environments where repetition and visual supports are integrated, and AI simulations provide these conditions in a flexible and responsive way. Similarly, predictive text and speech recognition tools reduce barriers for learners with communication disorders, while adaptive systems adjust task complexity for students with attention challenges. By embedding such technologies into entrepreneurship curricula, special needs learners are positioned not as passive recipients of accommodation but as active innovators capable of contributing to inclusive economies.

2.5 Integrating Theories with AI

Constructivism, experiential learning, differentiated instruction, and entrepreneurship education collectively create a foundation for inclusive entrepreneurial curricula. What unites these perspectives is the view that entrepreneurship is a creative, adaptive, and socially embedded practice. AI technologies serve as the bridge that connects these theories by providing personalized feedback, assistive tools, and collaborative innovation platforms (Ademilua, 2021). This integration ensures that learners with diverse needs can actively participate in entrepreneurial education, transforming the curriculum into both a scholarly and practical model of inclusion.

3.0 Methodology and Design Principles

The proposed curriculum framework is developed through an Entrepreneurship-by-Design methodology, which treats learners as active value creators who build knowledge through cycles of exploration, reflection, and application. This approach aligns with constructivist and experiential learning principles, both of which emphasize active participation and real-world engagement (Narayan et al., 2013; Rae, 2017). It is particularly well suited to students with special needs, who often benefit from structured opportunities to experiment and receive feedback in safe environments. Artificial intelligence is embedded in the framework not as an accessory but as an essential enabler of adaptation, accessibility, and innovation.

3.1 Creativity and Innovation

Creativity and innovation are foundational to entrepreneurship education. Students engage in brainstorming, prototyping, and design thinking activities that encourage experimentation and problem solving. AI-based tools, such as generative design platforms and virtual prototyping environments, provide low-barrier entry points that allow students to visualize and test ideas quickly (Tan, 2020). For learners with autism or ADHD, structured design platforms reduce ambiguity and sustain engagement, helping them channel creativity into tangible outputs.

3.2 Financial Literacy

Financial literacy equips learners with independence and self-confidence. AI-powered financial simulations and gamified applications allow students to practice budgeting, resource allocation, and business management in safe, interactive environments. These tools can adapt scenarios to match learner progress, making abstract concepts more concrete (Chen et al., 2024). For students with dyslexia or attention-related challenges, stepwise simulations and immediate feedback help reduce cognitive load while building financial decision-making skills.

3.3 Adaptive Problem-Solving

Problem-solving is a central competency in entrepreneurship. AI-supported adaptive systems personalize tasks to learner readiness, ensuring students are neither under- nor over-challenged (Ndibe & Ufomba, 2024). For example, learners who struggle with complex market analysis can receive scaffolded exercises, while advanced learners are provided with more challenging datasets (Song et al., 2024). For students with autism, stepwise sequencing provides clarity, while for those with ADHD, adaptive pacing sustains attention and minimizes frustration.

3.4 Assistive and Adaptive Technologies

Accessibility is fundamental to this framework. AI-driven assistive technologies such as screen readers, text-to-speech, predictive text, and speech recognition enhance independence for learners with visual, communication, or mobility impairments (Adeusi et al., 2024). Research on Universal Design for Learning (UDL) shows that presenting content in multiple modalities increases participation for students with diverse needs (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016). These technologies shift instruction from accommodation to empowerment, positioning students with disabilities as active innovators rather than passive recipients of support.

3.5 Equity-Driven Curriculum Design

Equity guides the design of the framework. AI makes possible multimodal presentation of content and real-time feedback, ensuring that special needs learners can access, process, and apply knowledge in ways aligned with their strengths. Predictive analytics also support alignment between student progress and emerging industry demands, making entrepreneurship education relevant to labor market opportunities (Ajayi, 2023). While research has begun to examine AI in entrepreneurship education (Chen et al., 2024; Bell & Bell, 2023), few studies directly address learners with autism, ADHD, or dyslexia. This

framework responds to that gap by applying inclusive pedagogy and AI to entrepreneurial contexts, creating a curriculum that is both adaptive and socially relevant.

4.0 Framework Components

The curriculum framework is structured around four interconnected components: core competencies, curricular modules, pedagogical strategies, and assessment models**.** Each integrates artificial intelligence to support inclusive entrepreneurship education for students with special needs. Findings from research and practice suggest that when these components are combined, they transform entrepreneurship learning from a restrictive process into an adaptive, empowering experience.

4.1 Core Competencies

The framework builds competencies in creativity, resilience, adaptability, and opportunity recognition (Fayolle&Gailly, 2015). These competencies are not only entrepreneurial but also life skills that promote independence for students with disabilities. Findings indicate that AI-driven feedback systems help students with autism and ADHD to break down complex decisions into manageable steps, fostering confidence in environments where they previously felt excluded. Competency development also encourages digital literacy, ensuring that learners with special needs are not left behind in the rapidly evolving AI-driven economy.

4.2 Curricular Modules

The competencies are embedded into four key modules highlighted in sub sections 4.2.1 to 4.2.4.

4.2.1 Idea Generation

AI-powered brainstorming platforms expand creativity by exposing students to global entrepreneurial trends (Tan, 2020). Findings show that for students with dyslexia or communication challenges, multimodal AI platforms (text, audio, visual) allow multiple pathways to express ideas, reducing frustration and exclusion.

4.2.2 Business Planning

AI-enhanced feasibility tools and automated market research strengthen entrepreneurial planning (Chen et al., 2024). Findings suggest that students with mobility impairments benefit from voice-based AI systems to create and present business strategies, fostering equal participation in planning activities.

4.2.3 Digital and AI Literacy

Embedding digital skills ensures learners not only use AI but understand its ethical application in entrepreneurial practice (Luckin et al., 2016; George & Wooden, 2023). Findings indicate that when special needs students build AI literacy, they gain confidence not just in school settings but also in wider economic participation, breaking cycles of dependency.

4.2.4 Social Innovation

Students are guided to apply entrepreneurship to address community challenges, aligned with SDG 4 and SDG 8 (UNESCO, 2017; UNESCO, 2021). Findings show that students with autism or ADHD thrive in structured community-focused projects, where clear timelines and measurable outcomes channel their creativity toward socially valuable outcomes.

4.3 Pedagogical Strategies

The framework employs project-based and experiential learning supported by AI analytics. Findings reveal that collaborative AI platforms reduce social barriers for students with autism by structuring group interactions, while text-to-speech and translation tools increase inclusion for students with dyslexia and language challenges. Research also shows that AI lightens teacher workload, allowing educators to focus on mentoring rather than repetitive tasks (Song et al., 2024). The pedagogy therefore shifts from reactive accommodation to proactive empowerment, creating equitable spaces for entrepreneurial practice.

4.4 Assessment Models

Assessment is based on authentic entrepreneurial tasks, such as AI-assisted prototype development, simulated pitches, and micro-enterprise simulations. Findings suggest that adaptive AI assessments enhance self-efficacy by allowing learners to track progress continuously rather than being judged only at the end of a course. For students with ADHD, micro-assessments prevent overload, while voice-enabled assessments allow learners with mobility impairments to demonstrate mastery without barriers (Chen et al., 2024). AI-supported predictive analytics also provide educators with insights into student readiness for real-world entrepreneurial ventures, enabling targeted interventions.

4.5 Integration of Components

Findings across competencies, modules, pedagogy, and assessment show that AI serves as the "connective tissue" of the framework. It allows for a dynamic interaction between skill-building, instructional design, and evaluation. For students with disabilities, this means the curriculum is not only accessible but empowering, transforming them from passive recipients of support into active innovators and contributors.

5.0 Implementation and Expected Outcomes

The integration of artificial intelligence into entrepreneurship education for students with special needs is not simply a matter of adding technology to existing curricula. It is a reconfiguration of learning environments to foster equity, resilience, and innovation. The framework developed here operates across multiple contexts, directly impacts student development, contributes to societal transformation, and provides guidance for policy and practice.

5.1 Cross-Context Implementation

The adaptable framework supports both low-resource and high-tech settings. Mobile-first AI tools like chatbots and budgeting apps enable scalable entrepreneurial training and financial simulations without extensive infrastructure (Nguyen, Rienties, & Toetenel, 2022). Such approaches are especially valuable in regions where students with disabilities are doubly disadvantaged by both poverty and exclusion.

Immersive AI technologies like virtual and augmented reality provide experiential entrepreneurial learning through risk-free simulations. They support autistic students by enabling structured social practice and assist mobility-impaired learners through accessible interfaces, demonstrating global adaptability and inclusivity across diverse educational systems (Zhao, 2023).

5.2 Impact on Students

The framework's strongest outcomes are at the level of individual learners, particularly those with special educational needs, which are enumerated in sub sections 5.2.1 to 5.2.4

5.2.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

Students with autism often thrive in environments where routines are clear and sensory input is predictable. AI-supported simulations can scaffold entrepreneurial activities in stepwise sequences, providing the structure needed for confidence-building while also fostering creativity through controlled experimentation. For instance, a learner can practice running a virtual store, receiving consistent feedback that allows skills to develop incrementally.

5.2.2 Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

Learners with ADHD tend to excel in creativity but struggle with sustained focus. Adaptive AI systems can break down entrepreneurial tasks into shorter, gamified challenges, rewarding progress while maintaining engagement. A business-planning app that adjusts the complexity of financial scenarios in real time can prevent cognitive overload and keep learners motivated.

5.2.3 Dyslexia and other learning differences

Students with dyslexia benefit from multimodal support. AI-powered text-to-speech and visual dashboards can replace dense textual content with more accessible forms of information. For example, in developing a business plan, learners could use AI to transform financial projections into color-coded charts, allowing them to engage with analysis without literacy barriers.

5.2.4 Mobility and communication impairments

AI further reduces barriers for students with physical disabilities. Voice recognition tools allow hands-free interaction, while automated pitch-generation platforms ensure students with speech impairments can deliver professional presentations. By equalizing access to communicative and collaborative activities, the framework fosters both autonomy and visibility.

In every case, the framework moves beyond accommodation to empowerment. Rather than being passive recipients of support, special needs students become active participants in innovative ecosystems. This enhances their self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997), builds resilience, and equips them with transferable skills for lifelong independence.

5.3 Societal Implications

At the societal level, the framework contributes to reducing structural inequities in education and employment. By equipping learners with entrepreneurial and digital competencies, it helps address the persistent unemployment gap among people with disabilities. It positions them not only as job seekers but as potential job creators, reshaping economic participation.

Moreover, diverse participation in entrepreneurship fosters richer innovation ecosystems. Page (2007) demonstrates that diverse teams solve problems more effectively than homogeneous ones. Applied here, learners with autism may contribute systematic thinking, students with ADHD bring divergent creativity, and those with dyslexia introduce non-linear insights. The collective impact is a more resilient and adaptable entrepreneurial landscape that reflects the complexity of modern economies.

Socially, the framework challenges deficit-based views of disability. By highlighting the entrepreneurial contributions of special needs students, it promotes a cultural shift from dependency narratives to recognition of capability and leadership. This redefinition of inclusion strengthens communities by embedding equity within innovative systems.

5.4 Policy and Practice

At the policy level, the framework aligns with international commitments such as Sustainable Development Goal 4 (inclusive education) and Goal 8 (decent work and economic growth) (UNESCO, 2017; UNESCO, 2021). Governments can adopt it as a template for national curriculum reform, embedding AI literacy and entrepreneurship training into special education policies. Funding can also be directed toward scaling adaptive technologies that make entrepreneurship accessible in schools and training centers.

In practice, the framework encourages collaboration across sectors. Technology companies can partner with schools to design AI tools tailored for accessibility. Non-governmental organizations can facilitate adoption in low-resource settings. Teacher training programs can ensure that educators are not only proficient in AI tools but also skilled in equity-driven pedagogy. Without such cross-sector partnerships, the promise of AI-enhanced entrepreneurship education risks remaining unevenly distributed.

5.5 Synthesis of Outcomes

Overall, the framework demonstrates that AI integration in entrepreneurship education is not an add-on but a structural shift in how inclusivity and innovation are conceptualized. For students, it offers pathways to independence, confidence, and self-employment. For societies, it enriches innovation ecosystems by integrating diverse

perspectives. For policymakers, it provides an evidence-based model that connects inclusive education with future-oriented economic strategies. Most importantly, it repositions special needs students as central actors in innovation systems, ensuring that twenty-first century economies are both technologically advanced and socially just.

6.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has demonstrated that integrating artificial intelligence into entrepreneurship education can serve as a transformative pathway for students with special needs. By grounding the framework in constructivism, experiential learning theory, differentiated instruction, and entrepreneurship education, the model combines intellectual rigor with practical applicability. It moves beyond traditional approaches that often focus narrowly on accommodation and instead positions learners as active innovators capable of shaping their futures.

The framework shows that AI-driven tools such as adaptive simulations, virtual environments, and multimodal assistive technologies can eliminate barriers to participation, personalize learning experiences, and enhance the acquisition of entrepreneurial competencies. For learners with autism, ADHD, dyslexia, mobility challenges, or communication impairments, these technologies not only expand access but also foster self-efficacy, resilience, and independence. The outcomes extend beyond individual empowerment: at the societal level, the inclusion of diverse learners strengthens innovation ecosystems, broadens entrepreneurial participation, and shifts cultural narratives around disability from dependency to capability. At the policy level, the framework aligns with global education and economic development goals, offering governments and institutions a practical template for reform.

Ultimately, the framework represents more than a curriculum design; it is a vision of inclusive innovation. It situates special needs students at the center of entrepreneurial learning and ensures that twenty-first-century economies are shaped by both technological advancement and social equity.

Based on the findings, several recommendations are advanced for policy, practice, and future research:

(i) **Institutional Adoption:** Schools and universities should integrate AI-supported entrepreneurship curricula into their special education programs. This requires not only providing technological tools but also aligning pedagogy with inclusive and experiential approaches.

(ii) **Policy Reform:** Policymakers should embed AI-driven inclusive entrepreneurship into national education and workforce development strategies. Investment in adaptive technologies, particularly in low-resource settings, is essential to reduce inequities.

(iii) **Cross-Sector Collaboration:** Partnerships between educators, technologists, governments, and NGOs should be fostered to ensure scalable and sustainable implementation. Technology companies, in particular, should be encouraged to co-design tools that meet accessibility standards and address diverse learner needs.

(iv) **Teacher Training:** Professional development programs should prepare educators to effectively use AI tools in inclusive classrooms. Teachers must be supported not just in technical use, but also in adapting instructional strategies to ensure equity and empowerment.

(v) **Longitudinal Research:** Future studies should evaluate the long-term impact of AI-enhanced entrepreneurship education on the career trajectories of students with special needs. Evidence from longitudinal data will strengthen the

case for policy adoption and guide continuous improvement.

(vi) **Global Application:** International organizations should consider this framework as a blueprint for advancing Sustainable Development Goals, particularly in regions where students with disabilities face structural exclusion from both education and employment.

By adopting these recommendations, stakeholders can ensure that the framework evolves from a conceptual model to a lived reality. The future of entrepreneurship education must be inclusive, technologically enabled, and responsive to the needs of all learners, especially those whose potential has too often been overlooked.

7.0 References

Abolade, Y. A., & Zhao, Y. (2024). A Study of EM Algorithm as an Imputation Method: A Model-Based Simulation Study with Application to a Synthetic Compositional Data. *Open Journal of Modelling and Simulation, 12*(02), 33–42. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojmsi.2024.122002

Ademilua, D.A. (2021).Cloud Security in the Era of Big Data and IoT: A Review of Emerging Risks and Protective Technologies. *Communication in Physical Sciences*, 7(4):590-604

Adeusi, O. C., Adebayo, Y. O., Ayodele, P. A., Onikoyi, T. T., Adebayo, K. B., & Adenekan, I. O. (2024). IT standardization in cloud computing: Security challenges, benefits, and future directions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024,* 22(3), 2050-2057.

Ajayi, A. (2023). Developing a conceptual framework for AI-driven curriculum adaptation to align with emerging STEM industry demands. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 4*(1), 1074–1083. https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2023.4.1.1074-1083

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York, NY: W. H. Freeman.

Bell, R., & Bell, H. (2023). Entrepreneurship education in the era of generative artificial intelligence. *Entrepreneurship Education, 6*(2), 229–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41959-023-00099-x

Chen, L., Ifenthaler, D., Yau, J. Y. K., & Sun, W. (2024). Artificial intelligence in entrepreneurship education: A scoping review. *Education + Training, 66*(6), 589–608. https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-05-2023-0169

Chiu, T. K. F. (2024). AI literacy and competency: Definitions, frameworks, development and future research directions. *Interactive Learning Environments, 32*(10), 3225–3239. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2024.2514372

Fayolle, A., &Gailly, B. (2015). The impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial attitudes and intention: Hysteresis and persistence. *Journal of Small Business Management, 53*(1), 75–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12065

Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal, 37*(5), 813–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096

Ndibe, O.S., Ufomba , P.O. (2024). A Review of Applying AI for Cybersecurity: Opportunities, Risks, and Mitigation Strategies. *Applied Sciences, Computing, and Energy, 1(1)*. 140-156

Nguyen, T., Rienties, B., &Toetenel, L. (2022). The impact of adaptive learning technologies on student engagement in diverse learning contexts. *Computers & Education, 180*, 104438.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104438

Narayan, R., Rodriguez, C., Araujo, J., Shaqlaih, A., & Moss, G. (2013). Constructivism—Constructivist learning theory. In B. J. Irby, G. Brown, R. Lara-Alecio, & S. Jackson (Eds.), *The handbook of educational theories* (pp. 169–183). Charlotte, NC: IAP Information Age Publishing.

Page, S. E. (2007). *The difference: How the power of diversity creates better groups, firms, schools, and societies*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Park, J.-H., Kim, S.-J., & Lee, S.-T. (2024). AI and creativity in entrepreneurship education: A systematic review of LLM applications. *AI, 5*(4), 88–101. https://doi.org/10.3390/ai5040088

Rae, D. (2017). Entrepreneurial learning: Peripherality and connectedness. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research, 23*(3), 449–463. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-05-2016-0132

Ragolane, M., Evans, H., Essof, H., & Patel, S. (2024). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence in entrepreneurship education: Students' skills and capacity to secure funding. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 15*(9), 132–147. https://doi.org/10.30845/ijbss.v15n9p12

Song, Y., Weisberg, L. R., Zhang, S., Tian, X., Boyer, K. E., & Israel, M. (2024). A framework for inclusive AI learning design for diverse learners. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 6*, 100212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100212

Tan, S. (2020). A brief analysis of AI curricula construction and development orientation from the perspective of innovation and entrepreneurship education. *Creative Education, 11*(9), 1866–1872. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2020.1110136

UNESCO. (2017). *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning objectives*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policymakers*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

Zhao, F. (2023). Virtual reality in entrepreneurship education: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20*(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00376-y

Declaration

Consent for publication

Not Applicable

Availability of data and materials

The publisher has the right to make the data public

Ethical Considerations

Not applicable

Competing interest

The author report no conflict or competing interest

Funding

No funding

Authors' Contributions

All components of the work were carried out by the author