

Statistical Thinking in Modern Journalism: A Quantitative Analysis of Data Literacy, News Accuracy, and Audience Trust

Nsentip George Afangide and Abasi-ada Nnabuk Eddy

Received: 28 August 2024/Accepted: 18 December 2024/Published:31 December 2024

Abstract: *The increasing availability of data and digital technologies has transformed journalism, making statistical literacy and data-driven reporting essential for credible news production. This study investigates the relationship between journalists' statistical literacy, data journalism training, reporting accuracy, and audience trust in Lagos State media. Using a quantitative cross-sectional design, data were collected from 120 journalists and 450 news consumers through structured questionnaires and audience surveys. Descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analyses were employed to examine relationships among the variables. The results indicate that statistical literacy significantly predicts reporting accuracy, while accurate reporting strongly influences audience trust. Training in data journalism further enhances reporting performance, suggesting that professional development is crucial for quality news production. The findings highlight the importance of integrating statistical literacy and data-driven practices into journalism education and newsroom protocols. Overall, the study demonstrates that quantitative competence strengthens journalistic credibility, reduces misinformation, and fosters audience confidence in media institutions.*

Keywords: *Data journalism; Statistical literacy; Reporting accuracy; Audience trust; Journalism education; Quantitative analysis*

Nsentip George Afangide

Department of Mass Communication
Akwa Ibom State University, Obio Akpa
Campus, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria

Email: nsentipafangide@gmail.com

Abasi-ada Nnabuk Eddy

Department of Computer Science, University
of Uyo

Email: eddyabasiaada50@gmail.com

1.0 Introduction

The practice of journalism has undergone significant transformation in the twenty-first century due to rapid technological advancement, digital communication platforms, and the increasing availability of large datasets. Contemporary journalism increasingly depends on quantitative information, statistical interpretation, and digital tools for gathering, analyzing, and presenting news. This evolution has given rise to data journalism, a form of journalism that integrates statistical analysis, data visualization, and computational techniques into storytelling. Data journalism has reshaped how news is researched, produced, and consumed, enabling journalists to uncover patterns, provide empirical evidence, and enhance audience engagement (Aimiomode et al., 2023).

The emergence of data journalism reflects broader changes within the media ecosystem driven by digitization and datafication. Modern audiences demand transparency, accuracy, and evidence-based reporting, which has compelled journalists to rely more heavily on quantitative data sources. According to Aimiomode et al. (2023), data journalism has become a transformative force in news gathering and writing by improving credibility, audience engagement, and perception of news stories. The increasing accessibility of open data and analytical technologies allows journalists to produce more investigative and

explanatory reports that support democratic accountability and informed public discourse. Despite these opportunities, the adoption of data journalism varies across media systems. Studies examining African media environments reveal uneven integration of data-driven reporting practices. For instance, Owolabi & Adeniran (2023) found that journalists recognize the value of data journalism in strengthening accountability and enabling audiences to verify government claims. However, its uptake remains slow due to limited statistical skills, inadequate open-data infrastructure, and financial constraints within newsrooms. These findings suggest that technological availability alone does not guarantee effective implementation without corresponding professional competencies.

Central to the practice of data journalism is data literacy, which involves the ability to interpret statistics, analyze datasets, and communicate quantitative findings accurately. Journalism has historically been rooted in narrative storytelling rather than numerical analysis, creating challenges for journalists adapting to data-intensive reporting environments. Kouts-Klemm (2019) observed that while journalists acknowledge data literacy as essential for future journalism, actual competence levels remain relatively low, with many professionals feeling more comfortable interpreting simplified or familiar data formats. Similarly, Wihbey and Coddington (2017) reported that journalists and journalism educators increasingly recognize the importance of statistics and research interpretation, highlighting the growing necessity of quantitative skills within journalism practice.

The importance of statistical literacy is further reinforced by research on misinformation and media credibility. Digital media environments have amplified the spread of false or misleading information, making analytical competence critical for journalists and audiences alike. Guess et al. (2020) demonstrated that media literacy interventions

significantly improve individuals' ability to distinguish between accurate and false news, emphasizing the role of analytical skills in strengthening information credibility. In addition, studies on digital media consumption show that audiences increasingly evaluate news credibility based on perceived evidence and verification practices (Bilişli et al., 2024). Within Nigeria, the expansion of social media and citizen journalism has also reshaped perceptions of credibility, with audiences sometimes viewing alternative media sources as more trustworthy than mainstream outlets, thereby intensifying the need for accurate, data-supported journalism (Chioma, 2024).

Recent technological developments, including artificial intelligence (AI), have further complicated journalistic practice. AI tools offer opportunities for processing large datasets and improving efficiency in news production but also raise concerns regarding professional authority, ethics, and newsroom adaptation (Verma, 2024). These developments reinforce the importance of strengthening journalists' analytical and statistical competencies to maintain credibility and professional relevance in an increasingly automated media environment.

While existing scholarship provides substantial insight into the evolution of data journalism and technological transformation in media, empirical evidence suggests persistent gaps between awareness and practical implementation. A study conducted among journalists in Lagos State revealed that although most journalists are familiar with the concept of data journalism and demonstrate positive attitudes toward it, this awareness does not translate into deep knowledge or frequent practice (Asiwaju, 2024). The study further identified barriers such as inadequate training, limited institutional support, and insufficient enabling environments for data-driven reporting.

Although prior studies have examined media innovation, digital literacy, and audience



engagement, a significant knowledge gap remains regarding the intersection between journalists' statistical competence, attitudes toward data journalism, and actual newsroom practice, particularly within developing media contexts. Much of the existing literature focuses either on technological change or audience behavior, while limited research quantitatively links journalists' knowledge and attitudes with the practical adoption of data journalism. Additionally, production-oriented studies examining how journalists develop data competencies remain relatively scarce compared to audience-centered research (Røsok-Dahl & Ihlebæk, 2024).

In response to these gaps, the aim of this study is to examine journalists' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding data journalism, with particular emphasis on the role of statistical literacy in shaping data-driven reporting. The study seeks to determine the level of awareness of data journalism among journalists, assess their attitudes toward working with raw datasets, and evaluate the extent to which data journalism practices are implemented within media organizations.

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to journalism scholarship, professional practice, and media policy development. First, the study advances academic understanding of the relationship between statistics and journalism by providing empirical insights into how quantitative competence influences reporting practices. Second, the findings may inform journalism education by emphasizing the need to integrate statistics, data analysis, and digital literacy training into journalism curricula. Third, media organizations and policymakers may benefit from evidence-based recommendations aimed at strengthening newsroom capacity for data journalism through training programs and supportive institutional frameworks. Ultimately, enhancing data journalism practices can improve news accuracy, reinforce media credibility, and promote informed

democratic participation in an increasingly data-driven society.

The increasing integration of data analytics and statistical information into journalism requires theoretical perspectives that explain how journalists interpret information, how media influence public perception, and how quantitative evidence shapes communication outcomes. This study is guided by Information Processing Theory, Agenda-Setting Theory, and the Quantitative Communication Framework, which collectively provide a foundation for examining journalists' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding data journalism.

Information Processing Theory explains how individuals receive, interpret, store, and utilize information during decision-making processes. Within journalism practice, this theory suggests that journalists' cognitive ability to interpret numerical and statistical information influences how accurately data are transformed into news content. Journalists with stronger data literacy are more likely to process complex datasets effectively and communicate findings clearly to audiences (Guess et al., 2020; Kõuts-Klemm, 2019). Since modern journalism increasingly relies on interpreting large volumes of information, understanding how journalists cognitively engage with data becomes essential.

Agenda-Setting Theory posits that media do not merely report events but shape public priorities by determining which issues receive attention and emphasis. In a data-driven media environment, statistical evidence and data visualization influence how news topics are framed and perceived by audiences. Data journalism therefore plays a critical role in guiding public discourse by prioritizing evidence-based narratives (Aimiomode et al., 2023). The ability of journalists to use data effectively may consequently affect audience trust and perceptions of credibility (Chioma, 2024).



Quantitative Communication Framework emphasizes the role of statistical reasoning and empirical evidence in enhancing communication accuracy and credibility. This framework highlights how numerical data, research findings, and analytical tools strengthen journalistic authority and improve audience understanding of complex societal issues (Wihbey & Coddington, 2017). As journalism evolves alongside artificial intelligence and digital technologies, quantitative competence becomes central to professional adaptation and newsroom innovation (Amponsah & Atianashie, 2024).

Drawing from these theoretical perspectives, the following research questions are formulated:

Research Questions

RQ1: To what extent do journalists possess knowledge and understanding of data journalism and statistical information processing?

(Guided by Information Processing Theory; Kõuts-Klemm, 2019; Guess et al., 2020).

RQ2: What attitudes do journalists demonstrate toward the use of data and quantitative analysis in news production?

(Grounded in Information Processing Theory and professional adaptation studies; Wihbey & Coddington, 2017).

RQ3: How frequently do journalists and media organizations engage in data journalism practices?

(Supported by empirical observations of uneven adoption in African media systems; Asiwaju, 2024).

RQ4: How does the use of data journalism influence journalists' perception of news credibility and audience engagement?

(Linked to Agenda-Setting Theory and credibility research; Aimiomode et al., 2023; Chioma, 2024).

RQ5: What institutional and professional barriers affect the adoption of data journalism in media organizations?

(Based on newsroom adaptation and technological integration challenges)

RQ6: How does statistical literacy contribute to evidence-based communication and accurate news framing?

(Anchored in the Quantitative Communication Framework; Wihbey & Coddington, 2017; Guess et al., 2020).

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study adopted a quantitative cross-sectional research design to examine the relationship between statistical literacy, data journalism practice, reporting accuracy, and audience trust in news media. The cross-sectional approach allows for the collection and analysis of data from different participant groups at a single point in time, enabling the assessment of patterns, relationships, and associations among study variables.

The design integrates two complementary components: (i) an assessment of journalists' statistical knowledge, professional characteristics, and data journalism practices, and (ii) an audience survey evaluating perceptions of reporting accuracy and trust in news content. This combined approach provides a comprehensive understanding of how journalists' quantitative competencies influence both journalistic output and audience responses.

A quantitative design was considered appropriate because the study aims to measure observable variables, test relationships statistically, and generate empirical evidence linking statistics and journalism practice.

2.2 Population and Sample

The study involved two primary participant groups as shown below.

Group	Sample Size
Journalists	120
News Consumers	450

The journalist sample consisted of professionals working across print, broadcast, and digital media organizations, ensuring



representation of diverse newsroom environments. The audience sample comprised news consumers who regularly engage with media content across multiple platforms.

A stratified random sampling technique was employed to enhance representativeness. Media organizations were first categorized into strata based on media type (print, broadcast, and digital). Participants were then randomly selected within each stratum to minimize sampling bias and ensure proportional representation of journalism sectors.

2.3 Variables of the Study

The study examined both independent and dependent variables to evaluate relationships between statistical competence, journalistic practice, and audience perception.

2.3.1 Independent Variables

The independent variables represent characteristics expected to influence journalistic performance and communication outcomes:

- (i) **Statistical Literacy Score (0–100):** A quantitative measure derived from a structured assessment evaluating journalists' ability to interpret statistical concepts, numerical data, and research findings.
- (ii) **Years of Professional Experience:** The total number of years respondents have practiced journalism, included to assess the influence of professional exposure on data journalism competence.
- (iii) **Data Journalism Training (Yes/No):** categorical variable indicating whether respondents have received formal or informal training in data journalism, statistical analysis, or related digital reporting skills.

2.3.2 Dependent Variables

The dependent variables reflect outcomes associated with journalistic performance and audience perception:

- (i) **Reporting Accuracy Score:** An evaluative measure assessing the correctness of statistical interpretation,

data presentation, and numerical reporting within journalistic outputs. Scores were assigned based on expert content evaluation using predefined accuracy criteria.

- (ii) **Audience Trust Index (1–10 Scale):** A composite score derived from audience survey responses measuring perceived credibility, reliability, and trustworthiness of news reports. Higher scores indicate stronger audience trust.

2.4 Rationale for Variable Selection

The selected variables align with the study's theoretical foundations linking information processing, agenda-setting effects, and quantitative communication practices. Statistical literacy and training represent journalists' analytical capacity, while reporting accuracy and audience trust capture communication outcomes influenced by data-driven journalism.

2.5 Data Analysis Overview Collected data were prepared for statistical analysis using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics summarized participant characteristics, while correlation and regression analyses were employed to examine relationships between statistical literacy, reporting accuracy, and audience trust.

3.0 Dataset, Statistical Analysis and Findings

3.1 Dataset (Provided for Replication)

To promote transparency and facilitate reproducibility of the statistical procedures employed in this study, structured datasets representing journalist characteristics and audience evaluations of news credibility were compiled. These datasets were designed to enable replication of the analyses using standard statistical software packages such as SPSS, R, Python, or Excel. The journalist dataset captures key attributes related to statistical literacy, professional experience, training in data journalism, and reporting accuracy.



As presented in Table 1, the sample journalist dataset includes variables such as literacy score, years of professional experience, training status, and reporting accuracy score. The literacy score represents journalists’ ability to interpret statistical information, while the training variable indicates whether the

journalist has received formal or informal instruction in data journalism practices. Reporting accuracy scores were assigned through expert evaluation of journalistic outputs using predefined criteria.

Table 1: Sample Journalist Dataset

Journalist ID	Literacy Score	Experience (Years)	Training	Accuracy Score
J01	82	10	1	88
J02	45	6	0	52
J03	76	12	1	81
J04	60	8	0	63
J05	91	15	1	94
J06	38	5	0	47

The dataset shown in Table 1 represents only a subset of the complete dataset used in the analysis. The full dataset comprises responses from 120 journalists, ensuring sufficient statistical power for quantitative analysis.

In addition to the journalist dataset, an audience evaluation dataset was constructed to measure public perceptions of news credibility and trust. This dataset links reporting accuracy with audience trust ratings for selected news articles. As shown in Table 2, the audience dataset includes the article identifier, the accuracy score assigned through expert assessment, and the trust rating assigned by news consumers on a ten-point scale.

Table 2: Audience Trust Dataset

Article ID	Accuracy Score	Trust Rating
A01	90	9.1
A02	55	5.3
A03	80	8.4
A04	62	6.2
A05	95	9.5

The dataset illustrated in Table 2 represents an excerpt from the complete dataset obtained from 450 audience responses. The trust ratings reflect participants’ perceptions of credibility, reliability, and trustworthiness of the evaluated news articles.

3.2 Statistical Analysis



The statistical analysis was conducted to examine the relationships among journalists’ statistical literacy, reporting accuracy, and audience trust. The analysis included descriptive statistics to summarize the central tendencies of key variables, correlation analysis to determine relationships among variables, and regression analysis to evaluate predictive relationships.

3.2.1 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were calculated to provide an overview of the distribution and variability of the principal variables examined in the study. As shown in Table 3, the mean literacy score among journalists was 68.4 with a standard deviation of 15.2, indicating moderate variability in statistical competence among respondents. The mean reporting accuracy score was 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.6, suggesting that the overall level of accuracy in data-related reporting was relatively high. The mean audience trust rating was 7.2 with a standard deviation of 1.8, indicating generally positive perceptions of credibility among news consumers.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Literacy Score	68.4	15.2
Accuracy Score	71.3	14.6
Trust Rating	7.2	1.8

The values presented in Table 3 indicate that while journalists demonstrate moderate statistical literacy, there remains variation in their ability to interpret and apply quantitative information in reporting.

3.2.2 Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis was performed to examine the strength and direction of relationships among statistical literacy, reporting accuracy, and audience trust. The results of the correlation analysis are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)
Literacy vs. Accuracy	0.74
Accuracy vs. Trust	0.69
Literacy vs. Trust	0.61

As indicated in Table 4, there is a strong positive relationship between statistical literacy and reporting accuracy ($r = 0.74$), suggesting that journalists with higher statistical competence tend to produce more accurate data-driven reports. The correlation between reporting accuracy and audience trust is also strong ($r = 0.69$), indicating that audiences tend to place greater trust in news stories that demonstrate higher levels of factual and numerical accuracy. Additionally, the correlation between statistical literacy and audience trust ($r = 0.61$) further suggests that journalists' quantitative competence indirectly contributes to audience confidence in news media. All correlations were statistically significant at $p < 0.01$.

3.2.3 Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was conducted to determine the predictive relationships between

statistical literacy, professional experience, training in data journalism, and reporting accuracy. The first regression model estimated reporting accuracy as a function of literacy score, years of experience, and training status. The regression model is expressed as:

$$\text{Accuracy} = 12.4 + 0.68(\text{Literacy}) + 0.21(\text{Experience}) + 6.3(\text{Training})$$

The model yielded a coefficient of determination of $R^2 = 0.63$, indicating that approximately 63 percent of the variation in reporting accuracy can be explained by the predictors included in the model. The results demonstrate that statistical literacy is the most influential predictor of reporting accuracy, followed by professional experience and participation in data journalism training.

A second regression model was estimated to examine the relationship between reporting accuracy and audience trust. The model is expressed as:

$$\text{Trust} = 2.1 + 0.054(\text{Accuracy})$$

The model produced a coefficient of determination of $R^2 = 0.48$, suggesting that nearly half of the variation in audience trust can be explained by differences in reporting accuracy. The findings indicate that higher levels of accuracy in news reporting significantly contribute to increased audience confidence in the credibility of news content.

3.2.4 Hypothesis Testing

The hypotheses formulated for the study were evaluated using the results of the statistical analyses. The outcomes of the hypothesis testing are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Result
Statistical literacy improves reporting accuracy	Supported
Reporting accuracy increases audience trust	Supported



Data journalism training Supported
improves reporting performance

As shown in Table 5, the empirical results support all three hypotheses tested in this study.

3.3 Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study demonstrate that statistical literacy plays a crucial role in enhancing the accuracy and credibility of journalism in contemporary media environments. The results presented in Table 4 indicate a strong positive relationship between journalists' statistical literacy and reporting accuracy. This suggests that journalists who possess stronger analytical and numerical interpretation skills are more capable of accurately interpreting data and presenting reliable information to audiences. Furthermore, the regression results indicate that statistical literacy is the strongest predictor of reporting accuracy, confirming that quantitative competence significantly influences journalistic performance. The relationship between reporting accuracy and audience trust, as reflected in the correlation and regression analyses, further highlights the importance of evidence-based reporting. When journalists present accurate and well-interpreted data, audiences are more likely to perceive the news content as credible and trustworthy.

These findings also demonstrate the value of training in data journalism. Journalists who have received formal training in statistical analysis and data interpretation tend to produce more accurate reports. This underscores the importance of professional development initiatives aimed at improving journalists' analytical skills.

3.4 Implications for Journalism Education and Practice

The results of this study have important implications for journalism education and newsroom practice. The findings suggest that strengthening statistical competence among journalists can significantly improve the accuracy and credibility of news reporting.

Journalism education programs should therefore integrate courses in statistics, data analysis, and quantitative reasoning into their curricula. Such training will enable journalists to interpret complex datasets and communicate numerical information effectively.

In addition, professional training programs should emphasize practical skills in probability, data visualization, and computational analysis. These competencies will enable journalists to present complex information in formats that are accessible and meaningful to audiences. Media organizations should also establish structured data verification and fact-checking protocols to ensure that statistical information used in news reporting is accurate and reliable.

By strengthening the integration of statistical literacy within journalism education and newsroom practices, the media industry can enhance the quality of data-driven reporting and reinforce public trust in news institutions.

4.0 Conclusion

This study examined the role of statistical literacy and data journalism practices in shaping reporting accuracy and audience trust in contemporary media environments. With the increasing reliance on quantitative data in news production, journalists are required to interpret and communicate complex datasets effectively. The findings of this study demonstrate that statistical competence is a critical factor influencing the quality and credibility of journalistic reporting.

The empirical analysis revealed that journalists' statistical literacy significantly predicts reporting accuracy. The regression results presented earlier indicated that statistical literacy, professional experience, and training in data journalism collectively explain a substantial proportion of the variation in reporting accuracy. Among these predictors, statistical literacy emerged as the strongest determinant of accurate data-driven reporting. This finding highlights the importance of quantitative competence in modern journalism, where news stories frequently involve



statistical evidence, research findings, and large datasets.

The study further demonstrated that reporting accuracy plays a crucial role in shaping audience perceptions of media credibility. The results showed a strong positive relationship between reporting accuracy and audience trust. News consumers were more likely to perceive reports as credible and reliable when they contained accurate statistical interpretations and well-presented data. This outcome reinforces the argument that data-driven journalism enhances transparency and strengthens the public's confidence in news institutions.

In addition, the findings confirm that professional training in data journalism contributes positively to reporting performance. Journalists who had received training in statistical analysis or data interpretation exhibited higher reporting accuracy than those without such training. This suggests that capacity-building initiatives aimed at improving journalists' quantitative skills can significantly enhance the effectiveness of data-driven reporting.

Overall, the study highlights the growing importance of integrating statistical literacy into journalism practice and education. As digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and large-scale datasets continue to reshape the media landscape, journalists must develop stronger analytical capabilities to interpret and communicate data responsibly. Strengthening journalists' competencies in statistics, data visualization, and quantitative reasoning will not only improve the quality of news reporting but also help combat misinformation and enhance public trust in the media.

In conclusion, the integration of statistical knowledge into journalism represents a critical step toward improving the accuracy, credibility, and accountability of modern news reporting. By investing in statistical training, encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration, and promoting data verification practices within newsrooms,

media organizations can strengthen the practice of data journalism and support the delivery of reliable, evidence-based information to the public.

5.0 References

- Aimiomode, A., Arikebi, G. P., Seluman, I., & Ekhueorohan, T. O. (2023). Data journalism and its changing role in news gathering and writing in the 21st century. *African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 6, 5, pp. 82–95. <https://doi.org/10.52589/AJSSHR-TQEJOZ4R>
- Asiwaju, D. (2024). *Knowledge, attitude and practice of data journalism amongst Lagos State journalists* (Master's thesis, University of Lagos). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16804.39041>
- Bilişli, Y., Çakmak, F., Zetter, S. A., & Ünal, M. I. (2024). Navigating truth and disinformation: A comparative analysis of generational responses to the 6 February 2023 earthquake in digital media in Türkiye. *Heliyon*, 10(19), e38667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e38667>
- Chioma, V. N. (2024). The influence of social media on citizen journalism and its impact on mainstream news credibility in Nigeria. *SSRN*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5021004>
- Guess, A. M., Lerner, M., Lyons, B., Erb, C., Reifler, J., & Sircar, N. (2020). A digital media literacy intervention increases discernment between mainstream and false news in the United States and India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 27, pp. 15536–15545. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920498117>
- Köuts-Klemm, R. (2019). Data literacy among journalists: A skills-assessment based approach. *Central European Journal of Communication*, 12, 3, pp. 299–315. [https://doi.org/10.19195/1899-5101.12.3\(24\).2](https://doi.org/10.19195/1899-5101.12.3(24).2)



- Owolabi, T. O. S., & Adeniran, R. (2023). *Conceptualising data-driven journalism and the quest for good governance in Nigeria*. Emerald Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80455-135-620231008>
- Røsok-Dahl, H., & Ihlebæk, K. A. (2024). Young people and news: A systematic literature review. *Journalism Studies*, 25, 10, pp. 1228–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2024.2372425>
- Verma, D. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence on journalism: A comprehensive review of AI in journalism. *Journal of Communication and Management*, 3, 2, pp. 150–156. <https://doi.org/10.58966/JCM2.0243212>
- Wihbey, J., & Coddington, M. (2017). Knowing the numbers: Assessing attitudes among journalists and educators about using and interpreting data, statistics, and research. *#ISOJ Journal*, 7, 1, pp. 5–24.

Declaration**Consent for publication**

Not Applicable

Availability of data

Data shall be made available upon request

Ethical Considerations

Not applicable

Competing interest

The authors report no conflict or competing interest

Funding

The authors declared no source of funding

Author's Contribution

All components of the work was written by the authors

